ALSYSTECH
Journal of Education Technology

e-ISSN : 2988-442X
p-ISSN : 2988-4403

Index : Dimensions, Scilit, Crossref, Garuda, Semantic, Google Scholar, Base, etc

https://doi.org/10.58578/alsystech.v1i1.1674

TECHNOLOGICAL INTERVENTIONS IN EDUCATION: AN EMPIRICAL REVIEW OF THEIR IMPACT ON LEARNING OUTCOMES

Deepak Kumar Behera
Gangadhar Meher University, Odisha, India
dkbehera4@gmail.com

Abstract

This empirical review delves into the ramifications of technological interventions in education on learning outcomes. Drawing on a synthesis of prior empirical research, the review examines the effects of diverse technological interventions, including mobile devices, online learning environments, and educational software, on student achievement, motivation, and engagement. The review underscores the potential benefits of technology-enhanced learning, encompassing improved academic achievement, heightened engagement in learning, and augmented motivation. Additionally, the review emphasizes the significance of tailoring technology-enhanced learning environments to accommodate individual learner attributes and requirements. Furthermore, the review identifies the constraints of technology-enhanced learning and highlights directions for future research, including investigating the efficacy of such interventions for learners from various backgrounds and characteristics. The implications of the findings for policy-making and society are also deliberated.

Keywords: Technology-Enhanced Learning, Educational Technology, Learning Outcomes, Mobile Devices, Online Learning, Academic Achievement, Motivation, Policy-Making, Social Impact.

AlSysTech is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

INTRODUCTION

In the rapidly evolving landscape of education, the integration of technology has heralded transformative changes, reshaping conventional learning paradigms and offering novel avenues for knowledge acquisition. This empirical review endeavors to delve into the impact of technological interventions in education on learning outcomes. The synthesis of findings from preceding empirical investigations on the influence of diverse technological interventions, such as mobile devices, online learning environments, and educational software, on student achievement, motivation, and engagement constitutes the essence of this review. The focal point of this endeavor is to unearth the potential advantages of technology-enhanced learning for student outcomes, encompassing enhanced academic achievement, heightened engagement in learning, and increased motivation.

With the advent of technology, a new educational frontier has emerged, termed as technology-enhanced learning (TEL), redefining traditional pedagogical approaches. This paradigm shift in education has been poised to augment student learning outcomes, encompassing academic achievement, motivation, and engagement. While several studies have explored the impact of various technological interventions on student outcomes, the efficacy of such interventions remains a topic of scholarly debate. This empirical review embarks on a journey to synthesize the extant literature, unraveling the true efficacy of technological interventions on learning outcomes.

Guided by the following research questions, this review seeks to unravel the multifaceted relationship between technology and learning outcomes:

1. What is the impact of technological interventions, including mobile devices, online learning environments, and educational software, on learning outcomes?
2. How do individual differences influence the effectiveness of technological interventions in education?
3. What are the limitations of technological interventions in education, and what avenues for future research exist?

To address these questions, this comprehensive review adopts a holistic approach, amalgamating insights from a range of empirical studies in the realm of TEL. The exploration transcends the dichotomy of positive and negative effects, shedding light on

the nuanced implications of technological interventions in education and their potential implications for policymaking and societal dynamics.

This review encompasses a structured organization. The subsequent section offers a concise overview of the evolution of technology-enhanced learning, contextualizing the discussion within the broader historical context. Subsequently, a critical analysis of empirical evidence elucidates the influence of various technological interventions on learning outcomes. The nuanced interplay between individual differences and the effectiveness of technological interventions is illuminated in the subsequent section. As this review advocates for a balanced perspective, the limitations of technological interventions are expounded upon, accompanied by potential areas for future research. The implications of these findings for policymaking and societal advancement are duly explored. The closure of this review encapsulates a summary of key contributions and findings.

In the pursuit of rigor and scholarly integrity, this study draws inspiration from eminent scholars who have made significant contributions to the field. Notable among them are Selwyn (2011), Means et al. (2010), Tamim et al. (2011), and Hattie (2015), whose seminal works have shaped the discourse on technology-enhanced learning.

Literature Review

The integration of technology in education has been the subject of extensive research, unveiling a complex tapestry of possibilities and intricacies within educational practices. The exploration of technology-based education has illuminated its potential to positively impact learning outcomes. Eminent researchers have contributed to this discourse, shedding light on the multifaceted effects of technology integration within educational contexts.

Numerous empirical studies have been conducted to assess the effectiveness of technological interventions in education. The majority of these studies adopt a quantitative research approach, employing statistical methods to analyze the influence of technology on learning outcomes. Notably, a meta-analysis conducted by Tamim et al. (2011) revealed that technology-enhanced learning exerts a significant positive effect on student achievement. Similarly, Means et al. (2010) demonstrated the positive impact of technology-based interventions on student motivation and engagement.

Moreover, Hattie (2015) found that technology-enhanced learning has a moderate effect size on student achievement, comparable to other instructional methods. However, the varying impact of technology on learning outcomes hinges on factors such as the type of technology, instructional context, and individual learner characteristics.

The Evolution of Technology-Enhanced Learning:

Technology has heralded a transformation in the traditional classroom environment, giving rise to technology-enhanced learning (TEL), which encompasses any form of learning mediated or augmented by technology (Selwyn, 2011). TEL encompasses a broad spectrum of educational technologies, including mobile devices, online learning environments, and educational software, positioning itself as a potent means to elevate student learning outcomes (Means et al., 2010). The integration of technology in education has burgeoned in recent times, with educational institutions embracing TEL to supplement or supplant conventional classroom instruction (Tamim et al., 2011).

Impact of Technological Interventions on Learning Outcomes:

Empirical investigations have delved into the ramifications of technological interventions on learning outcomes, spanning academic achievement, motivation, and engagement. Hattie's meta-analysis (2015) unveiled a moderately positive effect size of 0.44 for technology-enhanced learning on student achievement. However, the effect's magnitude hinges on the technology type and instructional setting.

Mobile devices, encompassing smartphones and tablets, have gained traction in education, with educational institutions integrating them into classrooms. Research demonstrates that their usage can amplify student engagement and motivation (Chen & Hsu, 2018; Sharples et al., 2019). For instance, Chen and Hsu's study (2018) in a language learning context observed heightened student motivation and participation attributed to mobile device integration.

In the realm of education, this study delves into the impact of technological interventions on learning outcomes. Focusing on social networking sites (SNSs) and their influence on academic performance, the research involves university students from western Odisha. Data were gathered through Google Forms using both online and offline methods. The analysis, conducted on a sample of 277 participants with a balanced gender distribution, utilized statistical tools like graphs, Chi-Square tests, and t-tests. Results indicate a connection between increased SNS engagement and lower academic

achievement. This study contributes to the understanding of technology's role in education and emphasizes the need for students to manage online activities to enhance their academic success (Behera et al., 2022).

Online learning environments, exemplified by Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs), have witnessed surging popularity, providing students access to diverse courses and resources. Studies on online learning's influence on student achievement have yielded mixed results. Bernard et al.'s meta-analysis (2014) unearthed a small positive effect on student achievement, contingent on instructional type and learner attributes.

The surge of social networking sites (SNSs) offers global information exchange but comes with dual effects on students' psychosomatic health and academics. This research examines COVID-19's impact on western Odisha students, linking SNS use to health issues. Mixing qualitative and quantitative approaches, it investigates SNS impact and dependence. Through statistical tools, it reveals health repercussions, with WhatsApp as a popular platform. Females are more vulnerable, and time spent correlates with health issues. This study sheds light on SNS complexities and their effects on students' well-being and academics (Behera et al., 2022).

Behera and Gartia (2023) conducted an empirical study exploring the interplay between gender and body mass index (BMI) status. Utilizing diverse participants, they employed statistical methods including crosstabulation, chi-square tests, and correlation analysis (Behera & Gartia, 2023). Behera and Gartia (2023) studied gender's influence on BMI status using crosstabulation and chi-square tests. Results show gender-BMI associations, emphasizing tailored health approaches ($p < 0.001$). Correlation analysis indicated a moderate positive link ($r=0.205$, $p < 0.001$) (Behera & Gartia, 2023).

Educational software, encompassing games and simulations, has proliferated in educational contexts. Studies highlight their potential to boost student engagement and motivation (Gee, 2003; Papastergiou, 2009). For instance, Papastergiou's study (2009) reported heightened engagement and motivation through the incorporation of educational games in a science course.

Individual Differences and the Effectiveness of Technological Interventions:

While technology-enhanced learning offers potential benefits, its effectiveness hinges on individual differences, encompassing learning styles, prior knowledge, and motivation (Tamim et al., 2011). Students with disparate prior knowledge levels may

experience differential benefits from technology-enhanced learning (Kalyuga et al., 2013). Moreover, the efficacy of these interventions is modulated by contextual factors, such as teacher support and interactivity levels (Means et al., 2010).

Zhang et al. (2016) investigated the impact of a mobile-assisted language learning system on college students' language proficiency, discovering a positive impact on language skills. Moreover, Chen et al. (2018) explored the influence of gamification on programming course outcomes, reporting significantly improved learning outcomes and engagement through gamified instruction.

Malik's study (2023) established a positive correlation between technology integration and learning outcomes, showcasing academic achievement, knowledge retention, and critical thinking improvements. Similarly, Yeung (2021) underscored the significance of aligning technology with established pedagogical strategies, such as engagement, retrieval practice, and spacing, to harness its impact effectively.

Naik's research (2020) further contributed by demonstrating technology's robust positive impact, even under conditions of limited teacher training and high student-computer ratios. This study accentuated technology's potential to enhance learning outcomes, irrespective of resource constraints.

However, the manner of technology employment is a pivotal determinant of its impact. Active learning facilitated by technology, as identified by Chauhan (2017), emerged as potent in domains like science and mathematics. Moreover, the convergence of technology, student factors, and teacher perspectives underscored its potential to revolutionize learning dynamics. Teachers' buy-in, as exemplified by Willis (2013), can catalyze transformative technology-driven education, amplifying technology's potential to elevate learning outcomes.

In the tapestry of education, the threads of technology interweave with the fabric of learning outcomes. Extensive research endeavors have underscored technology's potential to enhance student achievement, motivation, and engagement. Notwithstanding the challenges and nuances that accompany technology integration, its promise remains potent. The coming sections of this review delve into the intricate interplay of individual differences, contextual nuances, and methodological considerations in shaping the efficacy of technology-enhanced learning. By navigating this complex terrain, the aspiration is to

glean insights that transcend the binary discourse of technology as either a panacea or a peril.

Objectives

The following are the objectives of this study:

1. **Examine the Efficacy of Technology-Enhanced Learning**: The primary objective of this empirical review is to systematically investigate the effectiveness of technological interventions in education, particularly focusing on their impact on learning outcomes. By synthesizing and analyzing existing empirical studies, this review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the extent to which technology-enhanced learning contributes to improved academic achievement, motivation, and engagement.
2. **Identify Key Technological Modalities**: Another aim of this review is to identify and categorize the key technological modalities used in educational contexts. This involves exploring various forms of technology integration, such as mobile devices, online learning environments, and educational software. By analyzing the range of technological interventions, this review seeks to shed light on the diverse tools and platforms that educators utilize to augment traditional teaching methods.
3. **Examine the Influence of Individual Differences**: This review also seeks to delve into the role of individual differences in shaping the effectiveness of technological interventions. It aims to investigate how factors such as students' prior knowledge, learning styles, and motivation interact with technology-enhanced learning to impact academic outcomes. By understanding the nuances of individual variability, this review aims to provide insights into strategies for tailoring technological interventions to diverse learner profiles.
4. **Explore Contextual Factors and Pedagogical Strategies**: The review aims to explore the interplay between contextual factors and pedagogical strategies in mediating the impact of technology-enhanced learning. It seeks to uncover how variables like teacher support, interactivity levels, and instructional design influence the outcomes of technological interventions. By identifying the contextual elements

that amplify or hinder the effectiveness of technology integration, this review aims to offer practical guidelines for educators and policymakers.

5. **Provide Implications for Practice and Future Research**: Additionally, this review aspires to offer practical implications for educators, policymakers, and educational institutions. By synthesizing the findings of empirical studies, it aims to provide evidence-based recommendations for optimizing the design and implementation of technology-enhanced learning initiatives. Furthermore, the review aims to identify gaps in the existing literature and suggest avenues for future research, thereby contributing to the ongoing discourse on technology's role in education.

METHODS

This empirical review employs a systematic methodology to comprehensively examine the impact of technological interventions on learning outcomes. The systematic review process involves the identification, selection, analysis, and synthesis of relevant empirical studies to address the research questions.

1. **Research Design**: The research design adopted for this study is a systematic review of the literature. This approach allows for a rigorous and structured analysis of a wide range of empirical studies, enabling the identification of consistent patterns and trends related to the impact of technological interventions on learning outcomes.
2. **Literature Search**: To identify relevant studies, a comprehensive search was conducted in four major academic databases: Web of Science, Scopus, ERIC, and PubMed. The search terms used were "technological interventions", "education", and "learning outcomes". The inclusion criteria for studies included empirical research published in peer-reviewed journals and written in English. Review articles, conference papers, and dissertations were excluded.
3. **Study Selection**: Following the initial search, the identified studies underwent a two-stage selection process. In the first stage, titles and abstracts were screened for relevance to the research topic and alignment with the inclusion criteria. In the

second stage, full-text articles of the selected studies were examined to ensure they met the criteria for inclusion in the review.

4. **Data Extraction and Synthesis**: Data extraction involved systematically recording relevant information from each selected study, including author names, publication year, research objectives, methodologies, participants, key findings, and implications. The extracted data were synthesized to provide a comprehensive overview of the empirical evidence related to the impact of technological interventions on learning outcomes.
5. **Quality Assessment**: The quality of the selected studies was assessed to ensure the rigor and validity of the review process. Quality assessment criteria included the study's research design, sample size, data collection methods, and data analysis procedures. Studies that met higher methodological standards were given greater weight in the synthesis process.
6. **Thematic Analysis**: Thematic analysis was employed to identify recurring themes, patterns, and trends across the selected studies. This process involved categorizing findings based on the type of technological interventions, learning outcomes assessed, and contextual factors influencing the impact of technology on learning.
7. **Integration of Findings**: The synthesized findings were integrated to address the research questions and objectives of the study. The review focused on both positive and negative aspects of technological interventions in education, individual differences that influenced their effectiveness, and areas for further research.
8. **Limitations and Delimitations**: The limitations of the review process were acknowledged, including the potential bias introduced by the inclusion of studies in English and the variations in research methodologies across studies. The review was delimited to empirical studies published within a specific timeframe and excluded certain types of publications.

RESULTS

The systematic review synthesized findings from a range of empirical studies to examine the impact of technological interventions on learning outcomes. The results of the review are organized into three main sections: the impact of technological interventions on

learning outcomes, individual differences influencing intervention effectiveness, and limitations of technology-enhanced learning.

1. **Impact of Technological Interventions**: The review revealed that various technological interventions have a significant impact on learning outcomes. A meta-analysis conducted by Hattie (2015) indicated a moderately positive effect of technology-enhanced learning on student achievement, with an effect size of 0.44. Mobile devices, including smartphones and tablets, were found to enhance student engagement and motivation (Chen & Hsu, 2018; Sharples et al., 2019). Online learning environments, such as MOOCs, demonstrated a small positive effect on student achievement, though the effect size varied depending on instructional context and student characteristics (Bernard et al., 2014). Educational software, such as games and simulations, were associated with increased student engagement and motivation (Gee, 2003; Papastergiou, 2009).
2. **Individual Differences and Intervention Effectiveness**: The effectiveness of technological interventions was found to be influenced by individual differences among learners. Kalyuga et al. (2013) highlighted that students with low prior knowledge may benefit more from technology-enhanced learning than those with high prior knowledge. Instructional context played a role, with technology's impact varying based on teacher support and interactivity levels (Means et al., 2010). Zhang et al. (2016) emphasized the positive impact of mobile-assisted language learning on language proficiency, particularly benefiting students' attitudes toward language learning. Similarly, gamification positively affected learning outcomes and engagement (Chen et al., 2018).
3. **Limitations of Technology-Enhanced Learning**: While technological interventions offer benefits, the review also identified potential limitations. Selwyn (2011) cautioned against overreliance on technology, highlighting the importance of critical thinking and creativity in education. Negative effects were reported as well; Bhatti et al. (2016) found that mobile phone usage in classrooms negatively impacted student attention and academic performance. The diversity of learning outcomes measures across studies and variations in study contexts contribute to the complexity of interpreting results.

These findings collectively suggest that technological interventions have a significant impact on learning outcomes, enhancing student achievement, engagement, and motivation. However, the influence of individual differences and the nuanced effects of technology emphasize the need for context-aware implementation and pedagogical alignment. The review underscores the importance of a balanced approach to technology-enhanced learning that considers both its benefits and limitations.

DISCUSSIONS

The findings presented in the systematic review raise important discussions about the implications of technological interventions on learning outcomes. The discussions are organized into three main themes: the potential benefits of technology-enhanced learning, considerations for effective implementation, and the need for further research.

1. **Potential Benefits of Technology-Enhanced Learning**: The review's findings emphasize the potential benefits of technology-enhanced learning, echoing the idea that technology can positively impact various aspects of learning. The meta-analysis by Hattie (2015) and other empirical studies support the notion that technology has a moderate to positive effect on student achievement and engagement. Mobile devices, online learning environments, and educational software have been shown to enhance student motivation and participation (Chen & Hsu, 2018; Papastergiou, 2009). These findings align with the evolving landscape of education, where technology offers interactive and personalized learning experiences.
2. **Considerations for Effective Implementation**: The discussions also shed light on the importance of context-aware implementation of technological interventions. Selwyn's (2011) caution against overreliance on technology serves as a reminder that a balanced approach is essential. Educators must integrate technology with established instructional strategies, such as feedback and metacognition. The variation in the effectiveness of technology-enhanced learning based on individual characteristics and instructional context highlights the need for customization. This aligns with the studies by Zhang et al. (2016) and Chen et al. (2018), which highlight the significance of considering learner preferences and ensuring alignment with pedagogical strategies.

3. **Need for Further Research**: The discussions acknowledge that despite the promising results, there are complexities that require further research. The review underscores the need to explore the effectiveness of technology-enhanced learning in diverse educational contexts, such as K-12 and vocational education. The variations in outcomes across studies indicate that technology's impact is not uniform, necessitating more context-specific investigations. Moreover, the implications of technology-enhanced learning for learners with disabilities and different backgrounds require in-depth exploration. This echoes the call for further research by researchers like Tamim et al. (2011) to enhance the understanding of technology's diverse effects on learning outcomes.

The discussions highlight the transformative potential of technology-enhanced learning while emphasizing the importance of a balanced approach. The findings underscore the need for educators and policymakers to consider both the benefits and limitations of technology. The context-sensitive implementation of technological interventions, along with the ongoing pursuit of evidence-based research, will be crucial in harnessing technology's full potential to improve learning outcomes.

CONCLUSION

The comprehensive synthesis of empirical research on the impact of technological interventions in education on learning outcomes culminates in several significant conclusions. This section presents a summative overview of the key takeaways drawn from the systematic review.

The review affirms that technology-enhanced learning holds promise for enhancing student learning outcomes, motivation, and engagement. This alignment with contemporary education paradigms underscores the transformative potential of technology integration (Hattie, 2015; Chen & Hsu, 2018; Papastergiou, 2009). However, these benefits are not universally uniform; they hinge on context, instructional strategies, and learner characteristics (Zhang et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2018). The review accentuates the necessity of harmonizing technology with established pedagogical principles, aligning with the sentiments of Selwyn (2011).

Despite the encouraging findings, the review acknowledges the complexities associated with technology-enhanced learning. The variation in outcomes across studies underscores

the importance of further research to explore the nuanced effects of technology integration in diverse educational contexts (Tamim et al., 2011). The call for future investigations into the impact of technology-enhanced learning in K-12 and vocational education settings reflects the need for a comprehensive understanding of its implications. The implications of technology for learners with disabilities and distinct backgrounds present a compelling avenue for further inquiry.

The review's implications for educational practice are manifold. Educators are encouraged to adopt a balanced approach, recognizing the potential of technology while maintaining a focus on critical thinking, creativity, and other essential aspects of learning (Selwyn, 2011). The individualized nature of technology's impact necessitates the design of learning environments that cater to diverse learner characteristics and needs. Policymakers are reminded of their role in ensuring equitable access to technology and promoting evidence-based practices in technology-enhanced learning.

In conclusion, the empirical review underscores the transformative potential of technology-enhanced learning while highlighting the intricacies that necessitate careful implementation. The synthesis of empirical evidence accentuates the need for educators, policymakers, and researchers to collaborate in harnessing technology's benefits while remaining mindful of its limitations. By embracing a contextualized and evidence-based approach to technology integration, education can embrace the opportunities presented by technological interventions and maximize their impact on learning outcomes.

REFERENCES

Abu-Al-Aish, A., & Love, S. (2013). Factors influencing students' acceptance of m-learning: An investigation in higher education. International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 14(5), 82-107.

Adeyemo, D. A., & Akinbobola, A. O. (2016). Utilization of e-learning technologies in secondary schools: Implications for school counselors' counseling services in Oyo State, Nigeria. Journal of Education and Practice, 7(8), 1-6.

Ahmed, S. I., & Al-Fahad, F. N. (2015). Students' acceptance and usage of eBooks: A conceptual model. Journal of Education and Practice, 6(3), 122-133.

Akbulut, Y., & Cardak, C. S. (2012). Adaptive educational hypermedia accommodating learning styles: A content analysis of publications from 2000 to 2011. Computers & Education, 58(2), 835-842. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.10.009

Alabbad, A. A. (2018). Investigating the impact of mobile learning on students' learning outcomes: A meta-analysis and trend analysis. Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange, 11(1), 1-14.

Al-Rahmi, W. M., & Zeki, A. M. (2016). Investigating attitudes towards the use of mobile learning in higher education. Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences, 28(4), 399-408.

Alsaif, A., Mostafa, M., Berma, M., Akram, W., Kumar, R., Anderson, T., El-Badawy, T. A., Hashem, Y., M. Talaue, G., AlSaad, A., AlRushaidan, N., AlHugail, A., & AlFahhad, S. (2017). Investigate the impact of social media on students. *Kajian Malaysia*, *6*(1), 351–354. http://www.usm.my/km/KM 21,2003/21-9.pdf

Behera, D. K., & Gartia, R. (2023). Gender-Specific Variations in BMI Status of Students' Social Networking Site Usage: A Comprehensive Statistical Analysis. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Approach Research and Science*, *1*(02), 287–298. https://doi.org/10.59653/ijmars.v1i02.105

Behera, D. K., Gartia, Dr. R., Sahoo, Dr. R. K., Munda, S., & Sahu, P. (2022). A statistical investigation of the impact of social networking sites on students' academic achievement in western Odisha universities. *International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics*, *7*(6), 19–23. https://doi.org/10.22271/maths.2022.v7.i6a.893

Behera, D. K., Gartia, Dr. R., Sahoo, Dr. R. K., Munda, S., & Sahu, P. (2023). A statistical analysis of the effect of social networking sites on students' academic performance in the western Odisha universities. *Journal of Mathematical Problems, Equations and Statistics*, *4*(1), 05–09. https://doi.org/10.22271/math.2023.v4.i1a.74

Bhatti, R., Hanif, A., & Raza, S. A. (2016). Impact of mobile phone usage on academic performance of female students in Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences, 10(3), 640-655.

Bocconi, S., Kampylis, P., & Punie, Y. (2012). Innovative uses of ICTs in education: A review of the literature. European Commission Joint Research Centre. https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC77068/jrc77068.pdf

Bryant, S. M. (2020). Using a blended learning model in a traditional classroom: A comparative analysis of student learning outcomes. Journal of Interactive Online Learning, 18(2), 37-52.

Chauhan, S. (2017). A meta-analysis of the impact of technology on learning effectiveness of elementary students. *Computers & Education*, *105*, 14-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.11.005

Chen, X., Chen, Y., & Wang, X. (2020). Research on online collaborative learning: A systematic review. Computers & Education, 146, 103772. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103772

Choi, H. J., & Johnson, S. D. (2005). The effect of context-based video instruction on learning and motivation in online courses. American Journal of Distance Education, 19(4), 215-227.

Dichev, C., & Dicheva, D. (2017). Gamifying education: What is known, what is believed and what remains uncertain: A critical review. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 14(1), 1-34. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-017-0052-8

Escueta, M., Quan, V., Nickow, A. J., & Oreopoulos, P. (2017). Education technology: An evidence-based review. https://doi.org/10.3386/W23744

Firat, M., & Kokoc, M. (2019). Impact of multimedia on learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. Computers & Education, 142, 103652. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103652

Hattie, J. (2015). The applicability of Visible Learning to higher education. Scholarship of Teaching and Learning in Psychology, 1(1), 79-91.

Hew, K. F., & Cheung, W. S. (2014). Students' and instructors' use of massive open online courses (MOOCs): Motivations and challenges. Educational Research Review, 12, 45-58.

Kizilcec, R. F., Piech, C., & Schneider, E. (2013). Deconstructing disengagement: Analyzing learner subpopulations in massive open online courses. Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge, 170-179.

Kumar Behera, D., & Gartia, R. (2023). Addictiveness of Social Networking Sites among Students: In the Globalized World. In S. Padhi, R. Goswami, R. Brahma Kumari, & P. Hembram (Eds.), *Youth and Wellbeing* (1st ed., Vol. 1, pp. 198–206). Kunal Books. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369385241

Kumar Behera, D., & Gartia, R. (2023). Exploring the Associations Between Social Networking Sites and University Students' Psychosomatic Health: A Comprehensive Review. *Odisha Journal of Social Science*, *10*(2), 6–15. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372783305 (www.jssodisha.in).

Kumar Behera, D., Gartia, R., & Pachori, H. (2022). Effects of Social Networking Sites on Students' Psychosomatic Health in Western Odisha Universities During COVID-19 Pandemic: A Statistical Analysis. *Indian Journal of Health Social Work*, *4*(1), 19–28. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368915557 (https://aiamswp.org.in/).

Lin, Y. C., Kinshuk, & Chen, N. S. (2018). The effects of mobile learning on students' learning experiences: A meta-analysis. Educational Research Review, 24, 14-32.

López-Pernas, S., Garzón-García, B., & Núñez-Tabales, J. M. (2019). Social media in education: A literature review. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(1), 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0178-2

Luan, J., Chen, X., & Zhou, G. (2021). Intelligent tutoring system and its applications: A review. Education and Information Technologies, 26(4), 4371-4390. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10525-2

Makhdoom, N., & Shah, S. (2020). Impact of e-learning on academic achievement of students during COVID-19 pandemic in Pakistan. Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange, 13(1), 1-14.

Malik, R. (2023, March). Impact of Technology-based Education on Student Learning Outcomes and Engagement. In *2023 10th International Conference on Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIACom)* (pp. 784-788). IEEE. https://semanticscholar.org/paper/b7e371b5eb204138284676d60e74559a7be998e3

Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., Bakia, M., & Jones, K. (2010). Evaluation of evidence-based practices in online learning: A meta-analysis and review of online learning studies. U.S. Department of Education, Office of Planning, Evaluation, and Policy Development.

Naik, G., Chitre, C., Bhalla, M., & Rajan, J. (2020). Impact of use of technology on student learning outcomes: Evidence from a large-scale experiment in India. *World Development*, *127*, 104736. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.104736

Ozdamli, F., & Cavus, N. (2011). Basic elements and characteristics of mobile learning. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 28, 937-942.

Pachler, N., Bachmair, B., & Cook, J. (2010). Mobile learning: Structures, agency, practices. Springer Science & Business Media.

Prensky, M. (2001). Digital natives, digital immigrants. On the Horizon, 9(5), 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1108/10748120110424816

Pynoo, B., Devolder, P., Tondeur, J., Braak, J. V., Duyck, W., & Duyck, P. (2012). Teachers' acceptance and use of an educational portal. Computers & Education, 58(4), 1308-1317.

Selwyn, N. (2011). Education and technology: Key issues and debates. Continuum.

Shen, D., Cho, M. H., Tsai, C. L., & Marra, R. (2013). Unpacking online learning experiences: Online learning self-efficacy and learning satisfaction.

Tamim, R. M., Bernard, R. M., Borokhovski, E., Abrami, P. C., & Schmid, R. F. (2011). What forty years of research says about the impact of technology on learning: A second-order meta-analysis and validation study. Review of Educational Research, 81(1), 4-28.

Tawafak, R. M., Romli, A. B., bin Abdullah Arshah, R., & Almaroof, R. A. S. (2018). Assessing the impact of technology learning and assessment method on academic performance. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, *14*(6), 2241-2254. https://doi.org/10.29333/EJMSTE/87117

Wang, Q., Chen, W., & Liang, Y. (2011). The effects of social media on college students. Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange, 4(1), 1-14. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1088075.pdf

Willis, C., Kestell, C., Grainger, S., & Missingham, D. (2013). Encouraging the adoption of education technology for improved student outcomes. *Australasian Journal of Engineering Education*, *19*(2), 109-117. https://doi.org/10.7158/22054952.2013.11464084

Wu, J. H., Tennyson, R. D., & Hsia, T. L. (2010). A study of student satisfaction in a blended e-learning system environment. Computers & Education, 55(1), 155-164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2009.12.012

Yeung, K. L., Carpenter, S. K., & Corral, D. (2021). A comprehensive review of educational technology on objective learning outcomes in academic contexts. *Educational psychology review*, 1-48. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10648-020-09592-4

Young, M. R., Klemz, B. R., & Murphy, J. W. (2003). Enhancing learning outcomes: The effects of instructional technology, learning styles, instructional methods, and student behavior. *Journal of Marketing Education*, *25*(2), 130-142. https://doi.org/10.1177/0273475303254004