

Joint Military–Vigilante Operations Against Kidnapping in Taraba Central Senatorial District, Nigeria: A Historical Assessment, 2010–2024

Haruna Hussaini Shumo & Nwagu Evelyn Eziamaka

Taraba State University, Jalingo, Nigeria

haruna.shumo@tsuniversity.edu.ng

Submitted:	Revised:	Accepted:	Published:
Jul 26, 2026	Aug 23, 2026	Sep 4, 2026	Sep 9, 2026

Abstract

Kidnapping has become a major security challenge in twenty-first-century Nigeria, undermining human security, economic activity, social stability, and public confidence in government institutions. In Taraba Central Senatorial District, its increasing incidence between 2010 and 2024 prompted joint operations involving the Nigerian military and local vigilante groups to combat criminal networks and restore public safety. This study examines the historical evolution, effectiveness, and operational challenges of military–vigilante collaboration in addressing kidnapping in the district during this period. Employing a historical and qualitative research approach, the study draws on oral interviews, government reports, security publications, newspaper accounts, and scholarly literature. The findings indicate that military–vigilante collaboration enhanced intelligence gathering, surveillance, and rapid responses to kidnapping incidents. Nevertheless, inadequate logistics, poor interagency coordination, human rights concerns, insufficient training among vigilante members, and community distrust constrained operational effectiveness. The study concludes that joint military–vigilante operations have played a crucial role in countering kidnapping in Taraba Central Senatorial District, but their long-term effectiveness depends on stronger institutional support, improved coordination, appropriate training, robust accountability mechanisms, and

sustained community participation. By providing a historically grounded assessment of state–community security collaboration, this study contributes to scholarship on hybrid security governance and offers practical insights for developing more accountable, locally responsive, and sustainable approaches to combating kidnapping in Nigeria.

Keywords: Kidnapping; Military–Vigilante Operations; Security Governance; Civil–Military Relations; Taraba State

Introduction

The increasing incidence of kidnapping in Nigeria has become one of the most serious internal security challenges confronting the country in recent decades. Although kidnapping initially emerged as a politically motivated crime in the Niger Delta region during the early 2000s, it gradually evolved into a widespread criminal enterprise affecting various parts of the country (Okoli & Agada, 2014). Across Northern Nigeria, kidnapping has expanded significantly due to factors such as poverty, unemployment, weak law enforcement institutions, proliferation of small arms, and the activities of organized criminal networks (Onuoha, 2020). The growing sophistication of kidnapping operations has generated serious concerns regarding public safety, economic development, and national security.

Taraba State has not been exempt from this trend. Since the early 2010s, several communities within Taraba Central Senatorial District have experienced increasing incidents of kidnapping, armed robbery, cattle rustling, and other forms of violent criminality. Local Government Areas such as Bali, Gassol, Kurmi, and parts of Ardo-Kola became vulnerable to criminal activities due to their extensive rural landscapes, forested environments, and limited security presence. These conditions provided opportunities for criminal groups to establish hideouts and conduct operations with relative ease (Best, 2017).

The growing threat of kidnapping placed considerable pressure on conventional security agencies, including the police and military. Consequently, local vigilante groups emerged as important partners in community security and intelligence gathering. Vigilante organizations possess significant advantages because of their familiarity with local terrain, social networks, cultural dynamics, and community structures. Their collaboration with

military personnel gradually developed into joint security operations aimed at identifying criminal hideouts, tracking kidnappers, rescuing victims, and preventing attacks (Alemika & Chukwuma, 2021).

Scholars have increasingly argued that contemporary security challenges require collaborative approaches involving both formal and informal security institutions (Aning & Edu-Afful, 2019). In many parts of Africa, community-based security structures complement state security agencies by providing local intelligence and enhancing public participation in security governance. In Taraba Central Senatorial District, joint military–vigilante operations became particularly significant because conventional security institutions alone often lacked sufficient local knowledge to effectively combat kidnapping networks operating in remote communities.

Despite the increasing importance of military–vigilante cooperation, limited historical studies have examined the evolution, achievements, and challenges of such collaborations within Taraba State. Existing literature on kidnapping in Nigeria has largely focused on national security implications, criminal motivations, and law enforcement responses, with relatively little attention devoted to the historical experiences of joint security operations at the local level (Okeshola & Adeta, 2013; Onuoha, 2020). This study therefore fills an important scholarly gap by examining the historical development of joint military–vigilante operations against kidnapping in Taraba Central Senatorial District between 2010 and 2024.

The study specifically seeks to analyze the factors responsible for the rise of kidnapping within the district, examine the evolution of military–vigilante cooperation, assess the effectiveness of joint operations in combating criminal activities, identify major operational challenges, and evaluate the broader implications of these experiences for security governance and community safety in Taraba State.

Historical Background of Kidnapping in Taraba Central Senatorial District

Kidnapping has evolved into one of the most prominent security challenges confronting Nigeria in the twenty-first century. Although the phenomenon gained national attention during the Niger Delta militancy when armed groups abducted expatriate oil workers to advance political and economic demands, the crime subsequently spread to other parts of the country and assumed predominantly criminal dimensions (Okoli & Agada, 2014). By the late 2000s and early 2010s, kidnapping had become a lucrative

enterprise involving the abduction of individuals for ransom payments, political intimidation, revenge, and organized criminal activities. The expansion of the crime was facilitated by weak law enforcement capacity, rising unemployment, proliferation of small arms, porous borders, and increasing socio-economic inequalities (Onuoha, 2020).

Within Northern Nigeria, kidnapping expanded significantly during the period under review, affecting both urban and rural communities. The activities of armed criminal groups, bandits, and organized kidnapping syndicates contributed to growing insecurity across several states. Scholars have argued that the increasing commercialization of kidnapping transformed the crime from isolated incidents into a structured criminal economy capable of generating substantial financial returns for perpetrators (Okeshola & Adeta, 2013). Consequently, many communities became vulnerable to repeated attacks, resulting in fear, displacement, disruption of economic activities, and declining public confidence in state security institutions.

Taraba State experienced a similar pattern of insecurity. The state's geographical location, extensive forests, mountainous terrain, and proximity to neighboring states and the Republic of Cameroon created conditions that facilitated criminal activities. Furthermore, recurring communal conflicts, farmer–herder disputes, and population displacement contributed to the emergence of security vacuums that criminal groups exploited. Between 2010 and 2024, several parts of the state witnessed increasing incidents of kidnapping, cattle rustling, armed robbery, and banditry, thereby posing serious threats to public safety and socio-economic development (Best, 2017).

Taraba Central Senatorial District became particularly vulnerable to kidnapping activities during this period. The district comprises Local Government Areas such as Bali, Gassol, Kurmi, and parts of Ardo-Kola, many of which are characterized by vast rural landscapes, forests, and relatively limited security infrastructure. Criminal groups often exploited these geographical features to establish operational bases, conceal abducted victims, and evade arrest. Reports from security agencies and local communities indicate that major roads connecting Jalingo to Bali, Gassol, and neighboring areas occasionally became targets for kidnapping operations, thereby affecting transportation, trade, and agricultural activities (International Crisis Group, 2023).

The growing threat of kidnapping generated significant concern among local communities and government authorities. Traditional rulers, religious leaders, community

associations, and local government officials increasingly demanded stronger security measures to address the situation. In many communities, residents established vigilante groups as local responses to insecurity. These groups were primarily responsible for community surveillance, intelligence gathering, and the protection of local populations. Due to their familiarity with local terrain and social networks, vigilante members often possessed information that was inaccessible to conventional security agencies (Alemika & Chukwuma, 2021).

As kidnapping incidents continued to increase, the Nigerian military became more actively involved in internal security operations across Taraba State. Military deployments were intended to complement the efforts of the police and other security agencies in combating organized criminal activities. Over time, collaboration between military personnel and vigilante groups emerged as an important strategy for addressing kidnapping within Taraba Central Senatorial District. Joint operations enabled security agencies to combine military capabilities with local intelligence, thereby improving their ability to identify criminal hideouts, rescue abducted victims, and disrupt kidnapping networks (Oyewole, 2023).

The emergence of military–vigilante cooperation represented a significant development in the history of security governance within the district. Rather than relying exclusively on formal security institutions, authorities increasingly recognized the importance of community participation in combating criminal activities. Consequently, joint operations became a central component of anti-kidnapping efforts between 2010 and 2024. Understanding the historical background of kidnapping within Taraba Central Senatorial District is therefore essential for appreciating the circumstances that necessitated collaboration between military personnel and local vigilante groups.

Evolution of Joint Military–Vigilante Operations Against Kidnapping in Taraba Central Senatorial District, 2010–2024

Emergence of Kidnapping and Community Responses, 2010–2014

The period between 2010 and 2014 marked the emergence of kidnapping as a significant security challenge in several parts of Taraba Central Senatorial District. Although incidents of armed robbery and cattle rustling had previously occurred within the district, kidnapping gradually emerged as a profitable criminal enterprise during this period.

Criminal groups exploited weak security coverage, difficult terrain, and increasing socio-economic challenges to target travelers, traders, farmers, and prominent individuals for ransom payments (Okoli & Agada, 2014; Onuoha, 2020).

Communities in Bali, Gassol, Kurmi, and neighboring areas increasingly expressed concerns regarding growing insecurity. In response, local vigilante groups expanded their activities beyond traditional community surveillance to include intelligence gathering, patrol operations, and collaboration with formal security institutions. These groups often relied on local knowledge and social networks to identify suspicious individuals and monitor criminal activities. At this stage, cooperation between military personnel and vigilante groups remained limited and largely informal because military deployments within the district were relatively infrequent (Best, 2017).

Traditional rulers, religious leaders, and community associations played important roles in mobilizing local support for security initiatives. Their efforts contributed to the establishment of community-based security structures that later became important partners in anti-kidnapping operations. The period therefore laid the foundation for more structured collaboration between military personnel and vigilante groups in subsequent years.

Expansion of Military–Vigilante Cooperation, 2015–2019

The escalation of kidnapping activities after 2015 necessitated stronger security responses from both state and community actors. During this period, kidnapping syndicates became increasingly organized and sophisticated, utilizing forested areas and remote settlements as operational bases. The frequency of abductions generated widespread fear among residents and disrupted economic activities within several communities across Taraba Central Senatorial District (International Crisis Group, 2018).

In response to these challenges, military authorities increased their involvement in internal security operations within the district. Joint patrols, surveillance missions, and intelligence-led operations became more common. Vigilante groups emerged as critical partners because of their familiarity with local terrain, community structures, and movement patterns. Military personnel increasingly relied on information provided by vigilante members to identify criminal hideouts, monitor suspicious activities, and track kidnapping networks (Aning & Edu-Afful, 2019).

The period also witnessed the development of more organized communication channels between military formations and community-based security groups. Security meetings involving military officers, vigilante leaders, traditional rulers, and local government officials became important mechanisms for information sharing and operational coordination. Scholars have argued that such collaborative arrangements enhance the effectiveness of security interventions by integrating local knowledge into formal security operations (Ebo, 2020).

Despite these achievements, operational challenges remained evident. Limited logistics, inadequate equipment, poor road networks, and occasional mistrust between formal and informal security actors constrained the effectiveness of some operations. Nevertheless, military–vigilante cooperation became increasingly recognized as an important component of local security governance.

Consolidation of Joint Operations Against Kidnapping, 2020–2024

Between 2020 and 2024, joint military–vigilante operations became more institutionalized and strategically coordinated. The persistence of kidnapping, banditry, cattle rustling, and related criminal activities prompted security agencies to adopt more proactive approaches to intelligence gathering and crime prevention. Military personnel and vigilante groups increasingly conducted joint patrols, reconnaissance missions, and targeted operations against criminal networks operating within the district (Oyewole, 2023).

One of the most significant developments during this period was the growing emphasis on intelligence-led security operations. Vigilante members frequently provided information regarding criminal movements, suspected hideouts, and planned attacks. Their local knowledge proved particularly valuable in remote and difficult terrains where conventional security agencies often faced operational limitations. Military authorities increasingly acknowledged the strategic importance of community participation in combating kidnapping and other forms of organized crime (Alemika & Chukwuma, 2021).

The collaboration also extended beyond security operations to include community engagement programmes aimed at strengthening public trust and cooperation. Stakeholder meetings, peace dialogues, security awareness campaigns, and consultations with traditional institutions were organized to improve communication between security agencies and local populations. These initiatives contributed to improved information flow and enhanced community confidence in anti-kidnapping efforts.

However, challenges persisted throughout the period. Issues such as inadequate funding, insufficient training of vigilante personnel, allegations of misconduct, political interference, and concerns regarding accountability occasionally affected operational effectiveness. Furthermore, the adaptability of kidnapping networks required continuous adjustments in security strategies. Despite these challenges, evidence suggests that joint military–vigilante operations contributed significantly to reducing kidnapping incidents, improving intelligence gathering, and enhancing community security across parts of Taraba Central Senatorial District (International Crisis Group, 2023; Onuoha, 2020).

The historical evolution of military–vigilante cooperation between 2010 and 2024 demonstrates a gradual transition from informal community security arrangements to more structured collaborative security mechanisms. The experiences of Taraba Central Senatorial District highlight the growing importance of partnerships between formal security institutions and community-based actors in addressing contemporary security challenges in Nigeria.

Operational Achievements of Joint Military–Vigilante Operations Against Kidnapping in Taraba Central Senatorial District

The collaboration between military personnel and vigilante groups in Taraba Central Senatorial District produced several notable achievements in the fight against kidnapping and other forms of violent criminality between 2010 and 2024. Although security challenges persisted throughout the period, evidence indicates that joint operations contributed significantly to intelligence gathering, crime prevention, victim rescue, community protection, and the strengthening of local security governance.

Improvement in Intelligence Gathering

One of the most significant achievements of joint military–vigilante operations was the enhancement of intelligence gathering capabilities. Vigilante groups possessed extensive knowledge of local communities, geographical terrain, social networks, and movement patterns within their areas of operation. This local knowledge enabled security agencies to identify suspicious activities, monitor criminal movements, and locate kidnapping hideouts more effectively than would have been possible through conventional security methods alone (Aning & Edu-Afful, 2019).

The collaboration facilitated the establishment of informal intelligence networks through which information regarding potential threats was transmitted to military authorities. Such intelligence frequently enabled security personnel to respond rapidly to emerging security situations and prevent planned criminal attacks. Studies on community security initiatives in Nigeria similarly emphasize the importance of local intelligence in combating organized crime and insecurity (Oyewole, 2023).

Rescue of Kidnapping Victims

Joint operations also contributed to the successful rescue of kidnapping victims across various communities within Taraba Central Senatorial District. Information provided by vigilante groups often assisted military personnel in locating kidnappers' hideouts and conducting rescue missions. The familiarity of vigilante members with local terrain was particularly valuable in forested and remote areas where criminal groups frequently operated.

Several anti-kidnapping operations conducted during the study period resulted in the release of abducted persons and the disruption of criminal activities. Although not all operations achieved complete success, the combined efforts of military personnel and vigilante groups significantly increased the capacity of security agencies to respond to kidnapping incidents (Onuoha, 2020).

Reduction in Criminal Activities

The increasing visibility of joint security operations served as a deterrent to criminal activities in many communities. Regular patrols, surveillance operations, and intelligence-driven interventions disrupted the activities of kidnapping syndicates and other criminal groups operating within the district. The presence of security personnel and community vigilantes increased public confidence and reduced opportunities for criminal activities in some areas.

Research on community policing and collaborative security governance indicates that visible security presence often contributes to crime prevention by increasing the perceived risks associated with criminal behavior (Alemika & Chukwuma, 2021). The experiences of Taraba Central Senatorial District largely support this observation.

Strengthening Community Security

Joint military–vigilante operations contributed to the strengthening of community security structures across the district. The collaboration encouraged greater community participation in security governance and fostered collective responsibility for crime prevention. Traditional rulers, youth associations, religious leaders, and community organizations increasingly became involved in security-related discussions and initiatives.

The strengthening of community security structures enhanced social cohesion and improved the ability of local populations to respond collectively to security challenges. This development aligns with Human Security Theory, which emphasizes community participation as an important component of sustainable security management (UNDP, 1994).

Enhancement of Civil–Military Relations

The collaboration between military personnel and vigilante groups also improved relations between security agencies and local communities. Through regular interaction, joint patrols, stakeholder meetings, and community engagement programmes, military personnel developed stronger relationships with civilian populations. These interactions helped reduce mistrust and encouraged greater cooperation between security institutions and local communities.

Although challenges remained, the increased engagement between military personnel and civilians contributed to improved communication and strengthened public confidence in anti-kidnapping efforts (Ebo, 2020).

Challenges of Joint Military–Vigilante Operations Against Kidnapping

Despite the achievements recorded, several challenges constrained the effectiveness of joint military–vigilante operations in Taraba Central Senatorial District. These challenges affected operational efficiency, coordination, sustainability, and public confidence in security interventions.

1. Inadequate Logistics and Operational Resources

One of the major challenges confronting joint operations was inadequate logistical support. Both military personnel and vigilante groups often faced shortages of vehicles, communication equipment, surveillance technology, and operational funding. These

limitations affected mobility, response times, and the overall effectiveness of anti-kidnapping operations (International Crisis Group, 2023).

The difficult terrain of many communities within Taraba Central Senatorial District further complicated security operations. Poor road networks and remote settlements often limited access to areas where criminal groups operated.

2. Limited Training of Vigilante Personnel

Most vigilante groups within the district operated with limited formal training in intelligence gathering, crime investigation, and security management. While their local knowledge was valuable, inadequate professional training occasionally affected operational effectiveness and exposed members to significant risks during confrontations with armed criminals (Alemika & Chukwuma, 2021).

The absence of standardized training programmes also contributed to variations in operational procedures among different vigilante groups.

3. Coordination Challenges

Effective collaboration requires clear communication and coordination among participating actors. However, differences in organizational structures, operational priorities, and reporting systems sometimes created coordination challenges between military personnel and vigilante groups. Delays in information sharing and misunderstandings occasionally reduced the effectiveness of joint operations (Aning & Edu-Afful, 2019).

Furthermore, the informal nature of some vigilante organizations complicated efforts to establish consistent operational frameworks.

4. Human Rights Concerns

Human rights concerns constituted another significant challenge. Allegations of excessive use of force, arbitrary detention, and extra-judicial practices occasionally emerged during anti-kidnapping operations. Such allegations undermined public confidence in security institutions and generated concerns regarding accountability and the rule of law (Amnesty International, 2021).

Security experts argue that respect for human rights is essential for maintaining public trust and ensuring long-term cooperation between security agencies and civilian populations.

5. Community Distrust and Fear of Reprisals

In some communities, fear of retaliation from criminal groups discouraged residents from providing information to security agencies. Individuals suspected of cooperating with military personnel or vigilante groups occasionally faced threats and intimidation from kidnappers. Consequently, some community members were reluctant to participate actively in intelligence-sharing activities (Onuoha, 2020). This challenge was particularly pronounced in remote communities where criminal groups maintained strong influence over local populations.

6. Political Interference

Political interference occasionally affected the conduct of security operations within the district. Allegations of political patronage, influence, and manipulation sometimes complicated anti-kidnapping efforts and undermined public perceptions of neutrality and fairness. Such interference weakened confidence in security institutions and occasionally created tensions among stakeholders (Best, 2017).

7. Persistence and Adaptability of Criminal Networks

Kidnapping syndicates demonstrated considerable adaptability throughout the study period. Criminal groups frequently changed operational tactics, relocated hideouts, and exploited weaknesses within security structures. This adaptability required continuous adjustments in security strategies and often complicated efforts to achieve lasting success against kidnapping activities (Onuoha, 2020).

The persistence of socio-economic conditions such as poverty, unemployment, and youth marginalization further contributed to the resilience of criminal networks operating within the district.

While joint military–vigilante operations recorded important achievements in combating kidnapping, the challenges identified above highlight the need for improved coordination, enhanced training, adequate logistical support, stronger accountability mechanisms, and greater community participation in security governance.

Conclusion

This study examined the historical evolution of joint military–vigilante operations against kidnapping in Taraba Central Senatorial District between 2010 and 2024. The analysis revealed that the increasing incidence of kidnapping created significant security

challenges for communities across the district and necessitated collaborative responses involving both formal and informal security actors. As conventional security institutions struggled to address the growing threat independently, partnerships between military personnel and vigilante groups emerged as important mechanisms for combating criminal activities and protecting local populations.

The study found that military–vigilante cooperation evolved from relatively informal community-based security arrangements into more structured collaborative security mechanisms. Vigilante groups contributed substantially to intelligence gathering, surveillance, and information sharing, while military personnel provided operational capacity, strategic coordination, and enforcement capabilities. Together, these actors enhanced the effectiveness of anti-kidnapping operations and contributed to the rescue of victims, disruption of criminal networks, and improvement of community security.

The findings further indicate that the success of joint operations depended largely on community participation, local knowledge, and effective communication among stakeholders. Traditional rulers, religious leaders, youth organizations, and community associations played important roles in facilitating cooperation and strengthening security governance. These contributions demonstrate the importance of community-centered approaches in addressing contemporary security challenges within rural and semi-urban environments.

Despite these achievements, the study identified significant challenges, including inadequate logistics, insufficient training, coordination difficulties, human rights concerns, community distrust, political interference, and the adaptability of criminal networks. These challenges limited the effectiveness of some operations and underscored the need for more sustainable and institutionalized approaches to collaborative security management.

The study therefore concludes that joint military–vigilante operations have been instrumental in addressing kidnapping within Taraba Central Senatorial District. However, long-term success requires stronger institutional support, improved training programmes, enhanced accountability mechanisms, adequate logistical resources, and sustained community engagement. Furthermore, efforts to combat kidnapping should be complemented by socio-economic development initiatives aimed at addressing the structural conditions that contribute to insecurity. The historical experiences of Taraba Central Senatorial District provide important lessons for understanding collaborative

security governance and the role of community participation in contemporary security operations across Nigeria.

References

- Adeoye, M. (2022). Community-led security: The evolution and impact of vigilante groups in Nigeria. *African Security Review*, 31(2), 134–152.
- Adeyemi, K., & Bello, S. (2022). Ethnic security groups and conflict resolution: A case study of Tiv–Jukun peace committees in Taraba State. *Conflict and Peace Studies Journal*, 14(3), 88–105.
- Agwanwo, D. E., & Lawal, R. (2025). Non-state security actors and security governance in Taraba State. *Middle East Research Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 5(2), 41–48.
- Ajayi, T., Okon, P., & Ibrahim, M. (2022). The role of non-state security actors in Nigeria's national security architecture: A case study of the Vigilante Group of Nigeria (VGN). *Nigerian Journal of Security Studies*, 10(4), 120–142.
- Alemika, E. E. O., & Chukwuma, I. C. (2021). *Crime and policing in Nigeria*. CLEEN Foundation.
- Aning, K., & Edu-Afful, F. (2019). *Civil–military relations and security governance in Africa*. Routledge.
- Best, S. G. (2017). *Conflict and peacebuilding in Nigeria*. Spectrum Books.
- Danko, Q. Y., & Maikomo, J. M. (2025). Dynamics of insecurity and intelligence gaps in Taraba State, Nigeria. *Taraba International Journal of Social Sciences and Research*.
- Ebo, A. (2020). Security sector governance and community security in Africa. *African Security Review*, 29(2), 115–130.
- Eweka, O., & Olusegun, A. (2016). Community policing and crime control in Nigeria: The role of vigilante groups and local hunters. *African Journal of Criminology*, 18(2), 77–98.
- Ezekiel, J. (2023). Local hunters and crime prevention: A case study of Taraba State's vigilante operations. *Security and Society*, 9(2), 54–73.
- Garba, U., Mohammed, A., & Yusuf, R. (2023). Cattle rustling and community-based security strategies in Nigeria: A focus on Fulani Ardo groups. *Journal of Rural Security*, 12(1), 99–118.
- Higazi, A. (2016). Farmer–pastoralist conflicts in Northern Nigeria. *African Studies Review*, 59(2), 91–114.
- International Crisis Group. (2018). *Stopping Nigeria's spiralling farmer–herder violence*. International Crisis Group.
- International Crisis Group. (2023). *Managing Nigeria's insecurity: Vigilantes, state security and local responses*. International Crisis Group.
- Kwaja, C. M. A. (2014). *Blood, cattle and cash: Security challenges in Nigeria's Middle Belt*. Centre for Peace and Conflict Studies.
- Okafor, C., Yelwa, B., & Tukur, M. (2022). Traditional security structures in Nigeria: The role of hunters in crime prevention and local governance. *African Studies Review*, 16(3), 200–218.

- Okeshola, F. B., & Adeta, A. K. (2013). The nature, causes and consequences of kidnapping in Nigeria. *American Journal of Social Issues and Humanities*, 3(2), 134–143.
- Okoli, A. C., & Agada, A. T. (2014). Kidnapping and national security in Nigeria. *Research on Humanities and Social Sciences*, 4(6), 137–146.
- Oladipo, T. (2021). The effectiveness of non-state security actors in community policing: A study of local security initiatives in Nigeria. *Security Studies Journal*, 18(3), 45–67.
- Onuoha, F. C. (2020). *Kidnapping and insecurity in Nigeria: Trends, causes and responses*. Centre for Strategic Research and Studies.
- Oyewole, S. (2023). Community security initiatives and internal security operations in Nigeria. *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*, 41(3), 321–338.
- Taraba State Government. (2024). *Integration of vigilantes, hunters, and forest guards into community security frameworks in Taraba State*. Government Security Brief.
- Umah, J., & Amannah, C. (2020). Community security and the role of non-state actors in crime prevention: An assessment of Nigeria's security landscape. *African Journal of Security Studies*, 9(2), 76–95.
- United Nations Development Programme. (1994). *Human development report 1994: New dimensions of human security*. Oxford University Press.
- Yakubu, A. (2021). Prevalence and consequences of kidnapping in Southern Taraba, Nigeria. *Wukari International Studies Journal of Sociology and Security Research*.
- Yelwa, B., & Tukur, M. (2023). The rise of local hunters as security providers in Nigeria: An ethnographic study of Taraba State. *Journal of African Security Studies*, 21(2), 150–167.