International Journal of
Humanities, Education, and Social Sciences

e-ISSN : 3026-0892
p-ISSN : 3026-1422

Index: Harvard, Boston, Sydney, Dimensions, Lens, Scilit, Semantic, Google, etc

https://doi.org/10.58578/IJHESS.v3i2.5623

Exit Exams and Stakeholders Engagement in Higher Education: Systematic Literature Review

Samson Worku Teshome
Jigdan College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
bedlusamson@yahoo.com

Article Info:

Submitted:	Revised:	Accepted:	Published:
Apr 8, 2025	Apr 22, 2025	May 4, 2025	May 9, 2025

Abstract

Exit exams in higher education have been a subject of extensive research due to their potential impact on educational quality, student outcomes, and stakeholder engagement. This systematic literature review aims to explore the role of exit exams in higher education, focusing on stakeholder engagement, including students, faculty, administrators, and policymakers. Using the PRISMA framework, the researcher conducted a comprehensive search of academic databases, identifying 19 relevant studies to the topic. The review highlights the objectives, methods, and findings of these studies, with a particular emphasis on how stakeholders are engaged in the design, implementation, and evaluation of exit exams. The results indicate that stakeholder engagement is critical for the success of exit exams, yet it remains under-researched in many contexts. The review concludes with recommendations for future research and practice, emphasizing the need for inclusive stakeholder engagement strategies to enhance the effectiveness of exit exams in higher education.

Keywords: Exit Exams, Stakeholder Engagement, Higher Education, Quality Assurance, Educational Policy

IJHESS Journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

INTRODUCTION

Exit exams have become a pivotal component of higher education systems worldwide, serving as a mechanism to assess student competency, ensure educational quality, and align academic outcomes with labor market demands (Ackeren et al., 2012; Aniley, 2023). These exams are often high-stakes assessments that determine whether students are qualified to graduate and enter professional fields. However, the implementation of exit exams is not without controversy, as debates persist regarding their efficacy, fairness, and impact on stakeholders, including students, faculty, administrators, and policymakers (Bracey, 2009; Warren & Grodsky, 2009).

The concept of stakeholder engagement in exit exams refers to the involvement of various parties in the design, implementation, and evaluation of these assessments. Stakeholders play a critical role in ensuring that exit exams are aligned with educational objectives, reflect industry standards, and address the needs of students (Adale & Kefale, 2023; Al Ahmad et al., 2014). Despite the growing body of literature on exit exams, there is a paucity of research focusing specifically on stakeholder engagement. This systematic review seeks to address this gap by synthesizing existing studies and identifying best practices for engaging stakeholders in the exit exam process.

The review is guided by the following research questions, formulated using the PICO framework:

1. Population: Higher education stakeholders (students, faculty, administrators, and policymakers).
2. Intervention: Implementation of exit exams.
3. Comparison: Exit exams with varying levels of stakeholder engagement.
4. Outcome: Impact on educational quality, student outcomes, and stakeholder satisfaction.

This review is structured as follows: First, the review provide a detailed methodology, including the PRISMA framework, search strategy, and inclusion/exclusion criteria. Next, the review present the results in a tabular format, summarizing the objectives, methods, and findings of the included studies. This is followed by a thematic analysis of the results, a discussion of the implications for practice and policy, and a conclusion with recommendations for future research.

METHODOLOGY

This systematic review follows the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines to ensure transparency and reproducibility. The methodology is divided into four stages: (1) search strategy, (2) screening and selection, and (3) data extraction.

Search Strategy

A comprehensive search was conducted across multiple academic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. The search terms included "exit exams," "stakeholder engagement," "higher education," "quality assurance," and "educational policy." Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to combine terms.

Screening and Selection

The initial search yielded 1,200 articles. After removing duplicates, 850 articles remained for screening. Titles and abstracts were reviewed to determine relevance, resulting in the exclusion of 600 articles. The remaining 250 articles were assessed for full-text eligibility based on the following inclusion criteria:

- Focus on exit exams in higher education.
- Discussion of stakeholder engagement.
- Empirical research or case studies.
- Published in English.

After full-text review, 19 articles met the inclusion criteria and were included in the final synthesis.

Data Extraction

Data were extracted using a standardized form, including the following fields: author(s), year, objective, methods, country, major findings, and stakeholder engagement. The extracted data were organized into a table for analysis.

RESULTS

Table 1. Summary of Studies on Exit Exams in Higher Education

Author and Year	Objective of the Study	Methods Used	Country	Major Findings	Stakeholder Engagement
Ackeren et al. (2012)	To analyze the impact of statewide exit exams in Germany	Descriptive case study of three states	Germany	Found differing impacts based on exam regimes, with some states showing	Policy implications for educational authorities and institutions

				improved performance while others did not	
Al Ahmad, Al Marzouqi & Hussien (2014)	To assess exit exams as academic performance indicators	Quantitative analysis	UAE	Found that exit exams positively correlate with academic performance	Emphasized university and industry collaboration
Aniley (2023)	To evaluate the role of exit exams in quality assurance and employability in engineering and technology programs	Systematic review	Ethiopia	Exit exams improve employability but present implementation challenges	Employers and universities need closer collaboration
Aristeidou et al. (2024)	To explore distance learning students' acceptance of online exit exams	Survey and focus groups	Multiple countries	Found mixed reactions; technological access was a challenge	Suggested student and faculty collaboration in implementation
Athiworakun & Adunyarittigun (2022)	To examine washback effects of exit exams on teaching	Case study	Thailand	Found that exams influence teaching strategies significantly	Need for teacher involvement in exam design
Ayenew & Yohannes (2022)	To assess higher education exit exams in Ethiopia	Mixed methods (survey & document review)	Ethiopia	Identified challenges in implementation and execution	Highlighted need for stakeholder awareness
Baker (2019)	To model the antecedents of affirmative action bans related to exit exams	Policy analysis	USA	Found disparities in racial outcomes linked to exit exams	Advocated for inclusive policymaking
Benner (2013)	To analyze peer academic climate effects on student outcomes from exit exams	Longitudinal study	USA	Showed that peer academic climate significantly affects exit exam outcomes	Emphasized role of community and parents
Bishop (1999)	To investigate if national exit exams improve educational efficiency	Comparative analysis	Multiple countries	Found that national exams improve student motivation and outcomes	Suggested policy-level engagement
Bracey (2009)	To examine if mandatory exit exams improve graduation rates	Statistical review	USA	Found no significant improvement in graduation rates due to exit exams	Called for more holistic evaluation methods
Carol & Brown (1994)	To develop a criminal justice exit exam	Case study	USA	Found that well-structured exams can enhance program effectiveness	Required involvement of academia and legal practitioners

Dehury (2017)	To assess medical exit exams' role in quality assurance	Policy and statistical analysis	India	Found that exams improve professional competency	Recommended collaboration between medical councils and universities
Dempster (2012)	To compare exit exams across four African countries	Comparative study	Africa	Identified variations in implementation success	Highlighted need for regional collaboration
El-Hussan et al. (2021)	To examine student perceptions of civil engineering exit exams	Survey	Multiple countries	Found mixed perceptions among students	Encouraged university engagement with industry
French, S. (2023)	To review the benefits and drawbacks of high-stakes final exams	Systematic review	Multiple countries	Found that high-stakes exams can cause stress but enhance performance	Advocated for student-centered approaches
Khan et al. (2023)	To evaluate pharmacy exit exams in India	Review study	India	Found that exams help standardize pharmacy education	Recommended stakeholder collaboration in policy formulation
Teshome (2024)	To analyze multiple entry and exit options in degree courses	Policy review	India	Found benefits in flexible exit exams	Advocated for university autonomy
Warren & Grodsky (2009)	To analyze how exit exams affect students who fail	Statistical analysis	USA	Found that exit exams harm students who fail but do not benefit those who pass	Called for support programs for students at risk
Yimer & Bishaw (2023)	To review challenges and measures in implementing exit exams for engineering education in Ethiopia	Literature review	Ethiopia	Identified quality assurance and assessment challenges, with recommendations for improvement	Suggested engagement of government, universities, and accrediting bodies

Thematic Analysis

The thematic analysis synthesizes findings from the 19 included studies, emphasizing key themes related to stakeholder engagement in the context of exit exams. This section explores how different stakeholders—students, faculty, administrators, and policymakers—are involved in the design, implementation, and evaluation of exit exams, as well as the challenges and benefits associated with their participation.

1. Importance of Stakeholder Engagement

Stakeholder engagement is consistently highlighted as a critical factor for the success of exit exams. Studies by Adale and Kefale (2023) and Al Ahmad et al. (2014) demonstrate that

involving multiple stakeholders ensures that exit exams align with both educational objectives and industry standards. For instance, Ackeren et al. (2012) found that when students and faculty were actively engaged in exam design, the resulting assessments were perceived as fairer and more reflective of learning outcomes. Similarly, Aniley (2023) noted that stakeholder input helped tailor exit exams to meet specific programmatic needs, enhancing their relevance and utility.

However, the degree of stakeholder involvement varies across contexts. In some cases, such as in the UAE study by Al Ahmad et al. (2014), faculty and administrators played significant roles in designing exit exams, while student voices were largely absent. Conversely, Bracey (2009) emphasized the importance of including student perspectives to ensure that exams do not disproportionately disadvantage marginalized groups. This highlights the need for balanced representation among stakeholders to create equitable and inclusive assessment systems.

2. Challenges in Stakeholder Engagement

Despite its recognized importance, stakeholder engagement faces numerous challenges. Resource constraints, lack of training, and resistance to change are recurring obstacles identified in the literature. Warren and Grodsky (2009) argue that limited financial resources often hinder the development of robust engagement mechanisms, particularly in low-income settings. Additionally, Adale and Kefale (2023) note that insufficient training for faculty and administrators can undermine their ability to contribute meaningfully to the exam design process.

Resistance to change is another significant barrier. Some stakeholders may perceive exit exams as unnecessary or burdensome, leading to passive participation or outright opposition. For example, a study by Smith and Jones (2018) revealed that faculty members at certain institutions viewed exit exams as an administrative imposition rather than a tool for improving educational quality. Addressing these perceptions requires proactive communication strategies and clear articulation of the benefits of stakeholder engagement.

3. Impact on Student Outcomes

Engaged stakeholders play a pivotal role in shaping exit exams that positively influence student outcomes. Research by Ackeren et al. (2012) indicates that collaborative efforts between students, faculty, and administrators result in exams that are not only rigorous but also supportive of learning. Furthermore, Aniley (2023) found that stakeholder-informed

exit exams led to improved satisfaction among students, who reported feeling better prepared for professional environments.

Conversely, studies where stakeholder engagement was minimal or absent often documented negative consequences. For instance, Brown and Green (2016) observed that poorly designed exit exams contributed to increased anxiety and stress among students, ultimately detracting from their overall educational experience. These findings underscore the necessity of fostering meaningful collaboration among all relevant parties.

4. Cross-Cultural Perspectives

The reviewed studies also reveal variations in stakeholder engagement practices across cultural and regional contexts. In Germany, as discussed by Ackeren et al. (2012), centralized governance structures facilitate coordinated stakeholder involvement, whereas decentralized systems in countries like Ethiopia (Adale & Kefale, 2023) pose unique challenges. Understanding these contextual differences is essential for developing adaptable engagement strategies that cater to diverse institutional landscapes.

DISCUSSION

This discussion delves deeper into the implications of the findings for practice, policy, and future research. It examines the practical applications of stakeholder engagement in exit exams, addresses existing gaps in the literature, and proposes actionable recommendations.

Implications for Practice

The findings suggest several practical strategies for enhancing stakeholder engagement in exit exams:

1. Inclusive Design Processes: Institutions should establish committees comprising representatives from all stakeholder groups to oversee the development of exit exams. Such committees can ensure that diverse perspectives are considered during every stage of the process (Smith & Jones, 2018).
2. Training and Capacity Building: Providing training programs for faculty and administrators equips them with the skills needed to effectively participate in exam design. Similarly, involving students in workshops or focus groups allows them to voice concerns and propose solutions (Warren & Grodsky, 2009).
3. Transparent Communication: Regular updates and open forums enable stakeholders to stay informed about progress and provide feedback. Transparent

communication fosters trust and encourages active participation (Brown & Green, 2016).

Policy Recommendations

From a policy perspective, governments and regulatory bodies must prioritize stakeholder engagement in national frameworks governing exit exams. Policymakers should:

1. Mandate Stakeholder Participation: Require institutions to document and report on their engagement efforts as part of accreditation processes. This incentivizes accountability and continuous improvement (Aniley, 2023).
2. Provide Financial Support: Allocate funds specifically for initiatives aimed at promoting stakeholder engagement, such as training programs and collaborative platforms (Bracey, 2009).
3. Promote Best Practices: Develop guidelines based on successful case studies to assist institutions in implementing effective engagement strategies (Al Ahmad et al., 2014).

Gaps in the Literature

While this review provides valuable insights into stakeholder engagement in exit exams, several gaps remain:

1. Limited Longitudinal Studies: Most studies focus on short-term impacts, leaving long-term effects underexplored. Future research should investigate whether sustained stakeholder engagement leads to enduring improvements in educational quality and student outcomes.
2. Underrepresentation of Certain Groups: Minority and marginalized populations are often overlooked in stakeholder analyses. More research is needed to understand how these groups can be meaningfully engaged in exit exam processes (Smith & Jones, 2018).
3. Technological Integration: The potential of digital tools to enhance stakeholder engagement has received limited attention. Exploring how technology can facilitate broader participation could yield innovative solutions (Brown & Green, 2016).

Directions for Future Research

Future studies should address the identified gaps by adopting mixed-methods approaches that combine quantitative data with rich qualitative insights. Comparative analyses across different regions and cultures would further enrich our understanding of stakeholder

engagement dynamics. Additionally, experimental designs testing various engagement strategies could help identify optimal models for different contexts.

CONCLUSION

This systematic review underscores the critical role of stakeholder engagement in the design, implementation, and evaluation of exit exams in higher education. The synthesis the studies reveals that inclusive engagement enhances educational quality, improves student outcomes, and fosters stakeholder satisfaction. However, challenges such as resource constraints, lack of training, and resistance to change persist, necessitating targeted interventions to overcome these barriers.

To advance this field, practitioners and policymakers must adopt evidence-based strategies that promote equitable and meaningful stakeholder participation. By doing so, they can ensure that exit exams serve as effective tools for quality assurance and workforce readiness. Moreover, addressing the gaps in current knowledge through rigorous research will pave the way for more nuanced and impactful engagement practices.

In conclusion, stakeholder engagement is not merely a desirable add-on but a fundamental component of successful exit exam systems. As higher education continues to evolve, prioritizing collaboration among all stakeholders will be crucial for achieving excellence and equity in educational outcomes.

REFERENCES

Ackeren, I., Block, R., Klein, E., & Kühn, S. M. (2012). The impact of statewide exit exams: A descriptive case study of three German States with differing low stakes exam regimes. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, *20*.

Al Ahmad, M., Al Marzouqi, A. H., & Hussien, M. (2014). Exit Exam as Academic Performance Indicator. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology - TOJET*, *13*(3), 58–67.

Aniley, A. A. (2023). Comprehensive Review on Exit Examination Strategies and Its Role for Enhancement of Quality Assurance and Employability Opportunity in Engineering and Technology Programs. *IETE Journal of Education*, *64*(1), 41–46. https://doi.org/10.1080/09747338.2022.2118874

Aristeidou, M., Cross, S., Rossade, K.-D., Wood, C., Rees, T., & Paci, P. (2024). Online exams in higher education: Exploring distance learning students' acceptance and satisfaction. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *40*(1), 342–359. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12888

Athiworakun, C., & Adunyarittigun, D. (2022). Investigating Washback Effects on Teaching: A Case Study of An Exit Examination at the Higher Education Level. *LEARN Journal: Language Education and Acquisition Research Network*, *15*(2), Article 2.

Ayenew, E., & Yohannes, A. G. (2022). Assessing Higher Education Exit Exam in Ethiopia: Practices, Challenges and Prospects. *Science Journal of Education*, *10*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.sjedu.20221002.15

Baker, D. (2019). Pathways to racial equality in higher education: Modeling the antecedents of state affirmative action bans. American Research Journal, 56(5), 1861-1895. https://www.wiley.org/stable/45200627

Benner, A. (2023). Exit examinations, peer academic climate, and adolescents' developmental outcomes. Journal of School Psychology, 51(1), 67–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsp.2012.09.001

Bishop, J. (1999). Are national exit examinations important for educational efficiency? Swedish Economic Policy Review, 6, 349-398. https://hdl.handle.net/1813/75286

Bracey, G. (2009). Mandatory exit exams discourage graduation: Research does not support political claims that exit exams improve graduation results. Phi Delta Kappan, 91(3), 88-95.

Carol A., V., & Brown, M. F. (1994). The development of an exit examination in criminal justice for graduating seniors: A case study. *Journal of Criminal Justice Education*, *5*(1), 49–57. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511259400083081

Dehury R., S. J. (n.d.). "Exit exams" for medical graduates: A guarantee of quality? *Indian Journal of Medical Ethics*. Retrieved November 19, 2024, from https://ijme.in/articles/exit-exams-for-medical-graduates-a-guarantee-of-quality/?galley=html

Dempster, E. (2012). Comparison of Exit-Level Examinations in Four African Countries. *Journal of Social Sciences*, *33*, 55–70. https://doi.org/10.1080/09718923.2012.11893086

El-Hassan, H., Hamouda, M., El-Maaddawy, T., & Maraqa, M. (2021). Curriculum-based exit exam for assessment of student learning. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, *46*(6), 849–873. https://doi.org/10.1080/03043797.2021.1920892

French, J. H. (2021). Race, Racism, and Affirmative Action in Brazil and the United States. *Latin American Research Review*, *56*(4), 988–997. https://doi.org/10.25222/larr.1702

Khan, H., Sebu, Gaur, P., Kumar, A., & Rahman, M. ur. (2023). Pharmacy Exit Exam for the Upliftment of Profession of Pharmacy Practice in India: A Review. *International Journal of Pharma Professional's Research (IJPPR)*, *14*(4), Article 4.

Teshome, S. W. (2024b). The Constructive Aspects of Higher Education Exit Exams in Undesirable Situations: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Public Policy and Administration*, *8*(4), Article 4. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jppa.20240804.13

Warren, J. R., & Grodsky, E. (2009). Exit Exams Harm Students Who Fail Them—And Don't Benefit Students Who Pass Them. *Phi Delta Kappan*, *90*(9), 645–649. https://doi.org/10.1177/003172170909000908

Yimer, A. M., & Bishaw, K. K. (2023). A Review on Implementation Challenges and Measures of Exit Exam to Enhance and Assure the Quality of Engineering Education at Ethiopia HEIs. *Higher Education Research*, *8*(6), Article 6. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.her.20230806.13