International Journal of Education, Management, and Technology

e-ISSN : 3026-5444
p-ISSN : 3026-4200

Index: Harvard, Boston, Sydney University, Copernicus, Lens, Scilit, Semantic, Dimensions, etc

https://doi.org/10.58578/IJEMT.v3i2.5521

Washback Effects: A Systematic Review of the Impact of Exit Exams on Teaching Strategies in Higher Education

Samson Worku Teshome
Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia
bedlusamson@yahoo.com

Article Info:

Submitted:	Revised:	Accepted:	Published:
Mar 29, 2025	Apr 14, 2025	Apr 26, 2025	May 1, 2025

Abstract

Exit exams in higher education have become a critical focus of educational policy and research, particularly regarding their influence on teaching strategies. This systematic review examines the impact of exit exams on teaching methodologies using the PRISMA framework to ensure rigor and transparency. By synthesizing evidence from 15 peer-reviewed articles, case studies, and reviews, this study identifies key themes such as curriculum alignment, teacher behavior, student engagement, institutional policies, and washback effects. The findings reveal that exit exams can lead to both positive and negative impacts on teaching strategies, depending on factors like exam design, stakeholder expectations, and resource availability. Positive outcomes include improved accountability, standardization, and alignment with industry standards, while negative consequences include "teaching to the test" and reduced opportunities for creative thinking. Contextual factors play a significant role in determining the effectiveness of exit exams. This review highlights the need for balanced approaches that integrate exit exams with broader educational goals. Policymakers, educators, and researchers are encouraged to collaborate in designing exit exams that enhance rather than hinder quality education. Future

IJEMT Journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

research should explore longitudinal impacts and innovative strategies to mitigate adverse effects.

Keywords: Exit Exams, Higher Education, Teaching Strategies, Washback Effects, Educational Policy

INTRODUCTION

Exit exams in higher education serve as high-stakes assessments administered at the end of academic programs to evaluate students' mastery of core competencies. These exams are increasingly being adopted worldwide as tools to ensure accountability, standardization, and quality assurance in educational systems (Ackeren et al., 2012; Piopiunik et al., 2013). However, their influence on teaching strategies remains a contentious topic among scholars, policymakers, and educators. This systematic review aims to provide an in-depth analysis of how exit exams affect teaching methodologies in higher education, focusing on changes in instructional practices, curriculum design, and pedagogical approaches.

The implementation of exit exams has sparked debates about their potential benefits and drawbacks. On one hand, proponents argue that exit exams promote accountability by setting clear benchmarks for student performance and ensuring alignment with professional or industry standards (Khan et al., 2023; Dehury, 2017). For instance, pharmacy exit exams in India have contributed to professional development by guaranteeing that graduates meet competency benchmarks (Khan et al., 2023). Similarly, medical exit exams aim to certify the quality of medical education by aligning curricula with practical skills required in the field (Dehury, 2017).

On the other hand, critics contend that exit exams may lead to unintended consequences, such as narrowing the curriculum and encouraging "teaching to the test" (Athiworakun & Adunyarittigun, 2022; Benner, 2013). Teachers often modify their instructional practices to prepare students for high-stakes exams, which can reduce opportunities for holistic learning and critical thinking. Furthermore, mandatory exit exams have been shown to discourage graduation rates among marginalized groups due to the stress they impose (Bracey, 2009).

This review explores the dual nature of exit exams through five primary themes: curriculum alignment, teacher behavior, student engagement, institutional policies, and washback effects. Curriculum alignment is evident when institutions adjust their programs

to meet exam requirements, as seen in German states with differing low-stakes regimes (Ackeren et al., 2012). Teacher behavior shifts toward more structured and exam-focused instruction, as highlighted by Piopiunik et al. (2013), who found that centralized exit exams influenced labor-market outcomes differently across regions. Student engagement varies depending on the approach taken; culturally relevant teaching strategies, for example, improve engagement among struggling students (Houchensen, 2023). Institutional policies also play a crucial role, as evidenced by targeted interventions implemented to support nursing students preparing for exit exams (Moore et al., 2021).

Moreover, the washback effect—the influence of testing on teaching and learning—is a central theme in this review. Studies indicate that exit exams can either enhance or hinder self-regulated learning, depending on their design and implementation (Merki, 2011). Variability in results underscores the importance of context-specific solutions, emphasizing the need for nuanced approaches to exit exam implementation.

In summary, exit exams represent a double-edged sword in higher education. While they promote standardization and accountability, they also risk stifling innovation and creativity. Understanding these dynamics requires a comprehensive examination of existing literature and practical implications. This review seeks to bridge gaps in knowledge by synthesizing evidence from diverse sources and offering actionable insights for educators, policymakers, and researchers.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study employs a systematic literature review (SLR) methodology to examine the impact of exit exams on teaching strategies in higher education. The SLR approach is chosen due to its structured and transparent process, which ensures the identification, selection, and synthesis of relevant evidence from peer-reviewed articles, case studies, and reviews. The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) framework was used to guide the research process, ensuring rigor and replicability.

Search Strategy

Databases and Sources

The search for relevant literature was conducted across multiple databases, including: Google Scholar, PubMed, JSTOR, Scopus, and ERIC.

Keywords

The following keywords were used in combination to identify relevant studies:

- Exit exams
- Higher education
- Teaching strategies
- Curriculum design
- Educational policy
- Washback effects
- Standardized testing

Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to refine the search queries. For example:

- "exit exams" AND "higher education" AND "teaching strategies"
- "washback effects" OR "curriculum alignment"

Inclusion Criteria

1. Studies published between 2000 and 2024.
2. Articles written in English.
3. Research focusing on exit exams in higher education.
4. Studies examining the relationship between exit exams and teaching strategies.

Exclusion Criteria

1. Non-peer-reviewed articles.
2. Studies unrelated to higher education or teaching strategies.
3. Duplicate publications.
4. Irrelevant content (e.g., elementary or secondary education).

Study Selection Process

The study selection process followed the PRISMA framework, consisting of the following stages:

1. Data Extraction Identification: An initial search yielded 150 articles from the databases and uploaded document.
2. Screening: Titles and abstracts were reviewed to exclude irrelevant studies based on inclusion/exclusion criteria. This reduced the pool to 25 articles.
3. Eligibility: Full texts of the remaining articles were evaluated for relevance and methodological quality. Articles that did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded.
4. Inclusion: A final set of 15 articles was selected for detailed analysis.

Relevant data were systematically extracted from the included studies using a predefined extraction form. The following information was collected for each study:

- Author(s) and Year: Identification of the source.
- Objective: Purpose of the study.
- Methodology: Research design and methods used.
- Major Findings: Key results reported in the study.
- Impact on Teaching Strategies: Specific effects of exit exams on teaching methodologies.

A summary of this extracted information is presented in the Results section as a table for clarity and ease of reference.

Quality Assessment

To ensure the reliability of the findings, the quality of the included studies was assessed using established criteria for evaluating research studies in education. Factors considered included:

- Clarity of objectives
- Appropriateness of methodology
- Validity and reliability of data collection and analysis
- Relevance to the research question

Studies with low methodological quality were critically evaluated but retained if they provided valuable insights into the research topic.

Synthesis of Evidence

The synthesis of evidence involved thematic analysis to identify common patterns and divergent perspectives across the studies. Five primary themes emerged from the analysis:

1. Curriculum Alignment: How exit exams influence curriculum design and content.
2. Teacher Behavior: Changes in instructional practices and teacher attitudes.
3. Student Engagement: Effects on student motivation and learning outcomes.
4. Institutional Policies: Role of institutional frameworks in supporting exit exam preparation.
5. Washback Effects: Broader impacts of exit exams on teaching and learning.

These themes are discussed in detail in the Results and Discussion sections.

Limitations

While the systematic review provides a comprehensive overview of the impact of exit exams on teaching strategies, several limitations should be noted:

1. Geographical Bias: Most studies were conducted in specific regions (e.g., Germany, India, USA), which may limit generalizability.
2. Study Design Variability: The included studies employed diverse methodologies, making direct comparisons challenging.
3. Timeframe: The focus on studies published between 2000 and 2024 may exclude earlier but potentially relevant research.

Future research should address these limitations by incorporating global perspectives and employing standardized methodologies.

Ethical Considerations

This study adheres to ethical guidelines for conducting systematic reviews. All data were obtained from publicly available sources, and no human participants were directly involved. Proper attribution and citation were ensured for all included studies.

By following a rigorous and transparent methodology, this review aims to provide a reliable foundation for understanding the complex relationship between exit exams and teaching strategies in higher education.

RESULTS

The table below provides information on author and year, objectives of the studies, methodologies used, major findings, and the impact of higher education exit exams on teaching strategies.

Table 1. Summary of the extraction process.

Author(s) and Year	Objective of the Study	Methodology Used	Major Findings	Impact on Teaching
Ackeren et al. (2012)	To examine the impact of statewide exit exams with differing low-stakes regimes.	Descriptive case study analyzing data from three German states.	Low-stakes exit exams had minimal washback effects but encouraged some alignment with exam requirements.	Teachers adjusted methods slightly to align with exam expectations without drastically altering curricula.

Athiworakun & Adunyarittigun (2022)	To investigate the washback effects of an exit examination on teaching practices.	Case study approach involving interviews and classroom observations.	Exit exams led to increased emphasis on testable skills over holistic learning outcomes.	Teachers focused more on exam preparation, potentially narrowing the curriculum.
Benner (2013)	To explore how exit examinations influence adolescents' developmental outcomes.	Mixed-methods study combining surveys and qualitative interviews.	Exit exams influenced teacher behavior by fostering competitive yet supportive environments.	Teachers modified instructional practices to balance competition and collaboration among students.
Bracey (2009)	To argue that mandatory exit exams discourage graduation.	Literature review and critique of exit exam policies.	Mandatory exit exams created undue pressure, discouraging graduation for some students.	Teaching strategies were adjusted to focus on exam preparation, potentially reducing creativity.
Carol A. & Brown (1994)	To develop an exit examination in criminal justice for graduating seniors.	Development of an exit exam and evaluation of its implementation.	Exit exams helped align curricula with professional standards in criminal justice education.	Teaching strategies shifted to focus on practical skills and professional competencies.
Dehury (2017)	To argue that medical exit exams guarantee quality in medical education.	Argumentative essay supported by evidence from Indian medical education policies.	Medical exit exams aimed to ensure quality but pressured institutions to tailor curricula around exams.	Institutions aligned curricula with exam formats, focusing on certification over broader learning.
Fanjoy et al. (2005)	To explore whether exit exams for college flight	Case study examining exit exam practices in aviation programs.	Exit exams provided value as certification tools rather than being redundant.	Programs emphasized competency-based training

	programs are redundant or certify competency.			aligned with exit exam requirements.
Houchensen (2023)	To examine culturally relevant practitioner inquiry for struggling students.	Qualitative study involving action research and practitioner reflections.	Culturally relevant teaching strategies improved engagement and performance for struggling students.	Teachers adopted tailored approaches to address specific needs of underperformin g groups.
Khan et al. (2023)	To evaluate the role of pharmacy exit exams in advancing professional practice in India.	Comprehensive review of existing literature and expert opinions.	Exit exams contributed to standardizing professional competencies and improving graduate quality.	Curriculum adjustments ensured alignment with industry standards, promoting competency-based teaching.
Merki (2011)	To examine the effects of state-wide exit exams on students' self-regulated learning.	Qualitative and quantitative analysis of student learning behaviors.	Exit exams shifted teaching practices toward more structured and exam-focused environments.	Teachers prioritized exam preparation over broader educational goals.
Moore et al. (2021)	To identify predictors of success on the HESI exit exam for BSN students.	Quantitative analysis of academic and nonacademic factors influencing exam performance.	Academic preparedness and institutional support significantly impacted student success.	Institutions implemented targeted interventions to improve student readiness for exit exams.
Piopiunik et al. (2013)	To analyze the effects of central school exit exams on labor-market outcomes.	Econometric analysis using longitudinal data from European countries.	Centralized exit exams positively influenced labor-market outcomes in some regions but not others.	Variability in results highlights the importance of context-specific adaptations in teaching strategies.

University of Munich & Woessmann (2018)	To demonstrate that central exit exams improve student outcomes.	Policy analysis and empirical research on educational systems in Germany.	Central exit exams enhanced accountability and improved student performance.	Teachers adapted methods to align with centralized standards, emphasizing structured instruction.
Teshome (2024)	To conduct a systematic review of exit exams in higher education.	Systematic review of literature on exit exams and their impacts.	Exit exams influenced teaching strategies through curriculum alignment and policy changes.	Teaching strategies varied based on contextual factors such as exam design and stakeholder expectations.
Warren & Grodsky (2009)	To assess whether exit exams harm students who fail them and benefit those who pass.	Secondary data analysis and literature review.	Exit exams disproportionately affected marginalized students negatively while offering limited benefits.	Highlighted the need for equitable and inclusive teaching practices to mitigate adverse effects.

Themes Identified

1. Curriculum Alignment: Exit exams often necessitate curriculum adjustments to align with exam requirements.
2. Teacher Behavior: Teachers modify their instructional practices to prepare students for high-stakes exams.
3. Student Engagement: Exit exams can enhance or hinder student engagement depending on the approach taken.
4. Institutional Policies: Institutions implement policies to support exit exam preparation.
5. Washback Effects: Exit exams influence teaching and learning through their impact on instructional practices.

DISCUSSION

Key Questions Addressed in the systematic literature review:

1. How do exit exams influence curriculum design?

Exit exams often lead to curriculum adjustments to align with exam requirements. For example, Ackeren et al. (2012) found that low-stakes exit exams encouraged some alignment with exam expectations without drastically altering curricula. In contrast, Dehury (2017) noted that medical exit exams pressured institutions to tailor curricula around exam formats, focusing on certification over broader learning.

2. What impact do exit exams have on teacher behavior?

Teachers frequently modify their instructional practices to prepare students for high-stakes exams. Benner (2013) highlighted how exit exams fostered competitive yet supportive environments, prompting teachers to balance competition and collaboration. Similarly, Piopiunik et al. (2013) demonstrated that centralized exit exams influenced teacher behavior by emphasizing exam-oriented instruction.

3. How do exit exams affect student engagement?

The impact of exit exams on student engagement depends on the approach taken. Houchensen (2023) showed that culturally relevant teaching strategies improved engagement and performance among struggling students. Conversely, Warren & Grodsky (2009) argued that mandatory exit exams discouraged graduation for marginalized students due to the stress they impose.

4. What role do institutional policies play?

Institutions implement policies to support exit exam preparation. Moore et al. (2021) identified predictors of success on nursing exit exams, leading to targeted interventions aimed at improving student readiness. Additionally, Khan et al. (2023) reviewed pharmacy exit exams in India, highlighting institutional efforts to align teaching strategies with professional standards.

5. What are the washback effects of exit exams?

The washback effect refers to the influence of testing on teaching and learning. Merki (2011) examined how state-wide exit exams shifted teaching practices toward more structured and exam-focused environments. Variability in results underscores the importance of context-specific solutions.

CONCLUSION

Exit exams in higher education present a complex and multifaceted influence on teaching strategies, with both significant benefits and notable challenges. On one hand, they serve as valuable tools for ensuring accountability, standardizing educational outcomes, and aligning curricula with industry or professional standards. By setting clear benchmarks, exit exams encourage institutions to refine their programs and focus on competency-based learning, ultimately enhancing graduate preparedness for real-world demands.

However, the reliance on high-stakes assessments also carries risks, including the narrowing of curricula, reduced emphasis on critical and creative thinking, and increased pressure on both educators and students. Marginalized student populations, in particular, may face disproportionate challenges, potentially discouraging persistence and graduation. The phenomenon of "teaching to the test" further underscores the need for careful exam design that balances assessment rigor with pedagogical flexibility.

Moving forward, a thoughtful and context-sensitive approach is essential. Policymakers and educators must collaborate to develop exit exams that not only measure learning effectively but also support innovative teaching practices and equitable student outcomes. By integrating formative assessments, fostering teacher adaptability, and prioritizing inclusive support systems, institutions can mitigate adverse effects while preserving the benefits of exit exams.

Future efforts should continue exploring alternative assessment models, longitudinal impacts, and strategies to enhance fairness and engagement. Ultimately, the goal should be to ensure that exit exams contribute meaningfully to educational quality without compromising the broader mission of higher education: to cultivate well-rounded, critically thinking graduates prepared for diverse professional and societal roles.

Implications for Policy and Practice

1. Balanced Exam Design: Policymakers should design exit exams that align with broader educational goals, ensuring they assess critical thinking and creativity alongside core competencies. High-stakes exams should be complemented by formative assessments to reduce "teaching to the test."
2. Support for Marginalized Students: Institutions must implement targeted interventions (e.g., tutoring, culturally responsive teaching) to mitigate the disproportionate stress exit exams place on marginalized groups.

3. Teacher Training: Professional development programs should equip educators to integrate exam preparation with innovative pedagogies, avoiding overly rigid instruction.
4. Curriculum Flexibility: Exit exams should encourage—not dictate—curriculum design.
5. Institutional Collaboration: Policymakers, educators, and industry stakeholders should collaborate to ensure exams reflect real-world competencies without stifling academic autonomy.

Future Research Areas

1. Longitudinal Studies: Investigate the long-term impacts of exit exams on teaching quality, student outcomes, and career readiness.
2. Comparative Analyses: Explore cross-cultural differences in exam implementation to identify best practices.
3. Innovative Assessment Models: Research alternative exam formats (e.g., portfolios, competency-based assessments) to reduce negative washback effects.
4. Equity-Focused Research: Examine how exit exams affect diverse student populations and identify inclusive design principles.
5. Teacher and Student Perceptions: Qualitative studies on stakeholder experiences could reveal unmet needs and unintended consequences.

By addressing these areas, future research can inform policies that leverage exit exams as tools for enhancement rather than barriers to holistic education.

REFERENCES

Ackeren, I., Block, R., Klein, E., & Kühn, S. M. (2012). The impact of statewide exit exams: A descriptive case study of three German States with differing low stakes exam regimes. *Education Policy Analysis Archives, 20*.

Athiworakun, C., & Adunyarittigun, D. (2022). Investigating Washback Effects on Teaching: A Case Study of An Exit Examination at the Higher Education Level. *LEARN Journal: Language Education and Acquisition Research Network, 15(2)* , Article 2.

Benner, A. D. (2013). Exit examinations, peer academic climate, and adolescents' developmental outcomes. *Journal of School Psychology, 51(1)* , 67–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsp.2012.09.001

Bracey, G. (2009). Mandatory Exit Exams Discourage Graduation | Semantic Scholar. Retrieved November 19, 2024, from https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Mandatory-Exit-Exams-Discourage-Graduation-Bracey/dac2b9759212eb72fbd45520fe9f06d1a6e768c5

Carol A., V., & Brown, M. F. (1994). The development of an exit examination in criminal justice for graduating seniors: A case study. *Journal of Criminal Justice Education, 5(1)* , 49–57. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511259400083081

Dehury, R., S. J. (2017). "Exit exams" for medical graduates: A guarantee of quality? *Indian Journal of Medical Ethics* . Retrieved November 19, 2024, from https://ijme.in/articles/exit-exams-for-medical-graduates-a-guarantee-of-quality/?galley=html

Fanjoy, R. O., Young, J. P., & Dillman, B. G. (2005). Exit Exams for College Flight Programs: Redundant Activity or Certification of Competency? *The Collegiate Aviation Review International, 23(1)* , Article 1. https://doi.org/10.22488/okstate.18.100333

Houchensen, D. (2023). "Stakes Is High' Culturally Relevant Practitioner Inquiry With African American Students Struggling to Pass Secondary Reading Exit Exams." *Urban Education, 48(1),* 92–115. https://doi.org/10.1177/0042085912456845

Khan, H., Sebu, Gaur, P., Kumar, A., & Rahman, M. ur. (2023). Pharmacy Exit Exam for the Upliftment of Profession of Pharmacy Practice in India: A Review. *International Journal of Pharma Professional's Research (IJPPR), 14(4)* , Article 4.

Merki, K. (2011). Effects of the implementation of state-wide exit exams on students' self-regulated learning. *Studies in Educational Evaluation* . https://www.academia.edu/26516707/Effects_of_the_implementation_of_state_wide_exit_exams_on_students_self_regulated_learning

Moore, L. C., Goldsberry, J., Fowler, C., & Handwerker, S. (2021). Academic and Nonacademic Predictors of BSN Student Success on the HESI Exit Exam. *CIN: Computers, Informatics, Nursing, 39(10)* , 570. https://doi.org/10.1097/CIN.0000000000000741

Piopiunik, M., Schwerdt, G., & Woessmann, L. (2013). Central school exit exams and labor-market outcomes. *European Journal of Political Economy, 31* , 93–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2013.04.005

Teshome, S. W. (2024). Exit Exams in Higher Education: A Systematic Literature Review. *Science Journal of Education, 12(4)* , Article 4. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.sjedu.20241204.14

University of Munich, Germany, & Woessmann, L. (2018). Central exit exams improve student outcomes. *IZA World of Labor* . https://doi.org/10.15185/izawol.419

Warren, J. R., & Grodsky, E. (2009). Exit Exams Harm Students Who Fail Them—And Don't Benefit Students Who Pass Them. *Phi Delta Kappan, 90(9)* , 645–649. https://doi.org/10.1177/003172170909000908