International Journal of
Education, Culture, and Society

e-ISSN : 3024-8973
p-ISSN : 3024-8981

Index: Harvard, Boston, Sydney University, Dimensions, Lens, Scilit, Semantic, Google, etc

https://doi.org/10.58578/IJECS.v3i2.5215

Post-Colonial Reading of the Politics of International Donor Support in Nigeria

Gaius Jeff Yadima
Glorious Vision University, Edo State, Nigeria
gaiusjeff1@gmail.com

Article Info:

Submitted:	Revised:	Accepted:	Published:
Feb 21, 2025	Mar 6, 2025	Mar 18, 2025	Mar 23, 2025

Abstract

This study critically examines the politics of international donor support in Nigeria through a post-colonial lens, exploring its implications for national sovereignty, economic development, and governance. The research investigates how foreign aid, while often framed as a development tool, perpetuates economic dependency and policy subjugation. Utilising dependency theory and post-colonial theory, the study evaluates the extent to which donor interventions influence Nigeria's policy autonomy. This study adopts a qualitative approach, utilising secondary data from peer-reviewed journals, books, online materials and case studies. The findings indicate that while international aid has contributed significantly to healthcare, education, and infrastructure development, its effectiveness is often undermined by corruption, weak institutional frameworks, and external policy conditions imposed by donor agencies. Moreover, the study highlights the neo-colonial undertones of donor support, where funding is frequently tied to structural adjustments that limit Nigeria's self-determination. The study concludes that achieving sustainable development in Nigeria requires a strategic shift towards economic diversification, enhanced transparency in aid management, and increased local ownership of development initiatives. Recommendations include strengthening governance institutions, reducing donor dependency, and fostering domestic revenue generation to ensure long-term economic resilience.

Keywords*:* International Donor Support, Post-Colonialism, Economic Sovereignty, Foreign Aid, Nigeria

Introduction

International donor support has significantly influenced Nigeria's socio-economic development. However, the involvement of former colonial powers and international financial institutions has raised concerns about the political motivations behind aid distribution (Adeleye, 2024). The historical relationship between Nigeria and donor agencies is deeply rooted in colonial legacies, leading to ongoing economic dependency and external policy influence (Reuters, 2024). While donor support aims to enhance economic growth, governance, and poverty alleviation, its effectiveness is often questioned due to conditions that may align more with donor interests than recipient needs (Financial Times, 2025). Many donors driven policies impose structural conditions that limit Nigeria's policy independence and reinforce global power imbalances.

This study examines the extent to which international donor support affects Nigeria's sovereignty and self-determination. By analyzing the role of multilateral institutions such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank, as well as bilateral donor agreements, this paper seeks to highlight how donor interventions shape Nigeria's policy environment and economic priorities. For instance, the World Bank recently approved a $1.57 billion loan to Nigeria, intended to support health, education, and sustainable power sectors (Reuters, 2024). This paper applies a post-colonial perspective to understand the implications of donor support in shaping Nigeria's governance, economy, and policy autonomy. The study will also explore alternative strategies Nigeria can adopt to minimize dependency while maximizing the benefits of foreign aid.

A post-colonial framework will be used to critically analyse donor-recipient relationships, highlighting patterns of control and influence in global financial aid structures. The research will contribute to ongoing debates on whether international donor support fosters true economic development or perpetuates modern forms of neo-colonialism (Financial Times, 2025).

Statement of the Problem

Despite decades of international donor support, Nigeria continues to struggle with economic instability, governance challenges, and policy dependency (Adeleye, 2024). The persistent influence of donor agencies raises questions about the effectiveness and true intentions of foreign aid. Many donor programs come with stringent conditions that shape Nigeria's policy decisions, often prioritizing donor interests over national development goals (Reuters, 2024).

Furthermore, the reliance on external financial assistance has created a cycle of economic dependency, limiting Nigeria's ability to implement independent policies tailored to its unique socio-economic realities (AP News, 2023). The unequal power dynamics between donors and recipient countries reinforce a form of modern colonialism, where policy decisions are indirectly controlled by international financial institutions and foreign governments (Wired, 2023).

There is also a lack of transparency and accountability in how donor funds are utilized, with concerns about mismanagement and corruption undermining the intended impact of foreign aid (Financial Times, 2025). For example, Nigerian lawmakers recently approved an additional $200 million for the health sector to offset shortfalls from U.S. health aid cuts, highlighting the challenges in aid dependency (AP News, 2023). This calls for a critical examination of how donor support affects Nigeria's governance structures, economic policies, and overall development trajectory.

This study seeks to address these concerns by analyzing the political and economic implications of international donor support in Nigeria. It aims to highlight the extent to which donor aid influences national decision-making processes and explore alternative strategies for reducing dependency while ensuring sustainable development (Adeleye, 2024).

Theoretical Framework

The analysis of international donor support in Nigeria is anchored in Dependency theory and Post-colonial theory. These theoretical perspectives provide insight into the power dynamics that shape donor recipient relationships and the implications for Nigeria's governance, economic policies, and sovereignty.

Dependency Theory

Dependency theory, is a social science perspective that explains global economic inequalities by examining the relationships between developed and developing nations. It argues that underdevelopment in the Global south is a result of historical exploitation by wealthy, industrialised nations. The theory emerged in the late 1950s and 1960s, largely as a response to modernization theory.

Assumptions of the Theory

1. Global economic structure is unequal because the world us divided into a core (developed nation) and a periphery (developing nations). Wealthy nations extract raw materials and cheap labor from the periphery while selling them high-value manufactured goods, reinforcing economic dependency.
2. Colonization and global capitalism have structured economies in developing nations to serve the interests of developed nations, making them reliant on exports of raw materials.
3. While foreign investment is often seen as a way to boost economic growth, dependency argue that multinational corporations extract profits without reinvesting in local economies, further impoverishing the periphery.
4. Developing nations often export cheap raw materials and import expensive finished products, leading to unfavorable trade balances that hinder local economic development.

Relevance of Dependency Theory in Nigeria

This theory is particularly relevant to Nigeria's reliance on international donor support, which often comes with policy conditions that limit its economic autonomy. Studies suggest that foreign aid can reinforce underdevelopment by creating a cycle of dependency, where recipient nations struggle to achieve self-sufficiency due to continuous external intervention (Financial Times, 2025).

Nigeria's economy demonstrates these dependency patterns, as donor-driven programs frequently dictate national policy choices. For instance, World Bank loans often require structural adjustments, such as subsidy removals and fiscal austerity measures, which can exacerbate social and economic inequalities (Reuters, 2024). This aligns with dependency theorists' arguments that international aid is often a tool for maintaining global economic hierarchies rather than fostering genuine development (AP News, 2023).

Post-Colonial Theory

Post-colonial theory, was developed by Edward Said 1978 and later developed Gayatari Chakravorty Spivak in 1988, critiques the lingering effects of colonial rule on formerly colonized nations. The theory argues that power structures established during colonial rule persist in contemporary international relations, including donor-recipient interactions (Wired, 2023).

Assumptions of the Theory

1. Colonial powers constructed narratives that depicted colonized people as primitive, lazy and in need of western intervention. These stereotypes persist in media, literature, and global politics.
2. Post-colonial societies are shaped by the blending of indigenous and colonial cultures. This creates hybrid identities that resist simples categorisations of western or non-western.
3. Even after formal independence, many former colonies remain economically and politically dependent on their former colonizers through multinational corporations, international financial institutions and political influence.
4. Colonized people often adopt aspects of colonial culture, but in doing so, they subtly challenge and subvert colonial authority. This is known as "mimicry", where imitation becomes a form of resistance.

Relevance of Post-colonial theory in Nigeria

Nigeria's experience with donor aid reflects these dynamics, where former colonial powers and international financial institutions continue to exert influence over policy decisions. Scholars such as Rodney (2021) emphasises that foreign aid often functions as a modern extension of colonial control, shaping economic policies to align with donor interests rather than the needs of recipient nations. For example, the IMF's loan conditions often mandate economic liberalisation policies that prioritise foreign investment over local industries, reinforcing economic dependency (Financial Times, 2025). These policies reduce Nigeria's ability to implement independent development strategies, echoing colonial era economic structures (Reuters, 2024).

By applying Post-colonial theory, this study critically examines how donor aid mechanisms perpetuate neo-colonialism in Nigeria. It highlights how seemingly benevolent international support can undermine national sovereignty and self-determination, reinforcing global power asymmetries (Adeleye, 2024).

Conceptual Review

The conceptual review examines the key themes and definitions relevant to international donor support, dependency, policy influence, and economic sovereignty. This section provides a structured analysis of these concepts to establish a foundation for the study.

1. International Donor Support: Definition and Objectives

International donor support refers to financial aid, technical assistance, and policy guidance provided by multilateral institutions, bilateral donors, and non-governmental organisations to developing countries (World Bank, 2024). The primary objectives of donor aid include economic development, poverty reduction, governance strengthening, and humanitarian relief (OECD, 2023). However, critics argue that donor assistance often serves strategic and economic interests of donor nations rather than addressing the core needs of recipient countries (Adeleye, 2024).

According to the World Bank (2024), official development assistance (ODA) to Nigeria has increased significantly in recent decades, with funds directed towards sectors such as healthcare, education, and infrastructure. However, scholars highlight that much of this aid is tied to conditions that influence Nigeria's domestic policies and limit its economic sovereignty (Reuters, 2024).

2. Dependency and Foreign Aid: A Structural Analysis

The dependency theory, as discussed in previous sections, explains how international donor support can reinforce economic reliance rather than promote self-sufficiency. Frank (2022) asserts that aid-dependent economies often experience limited industrialization and are subjected to policy prescriptions that favor donor interests. Rodney (2021) also argues that external financial aid can serve as a mechanism of economic control, prolonging underdevelopment by dictating fiscal and social policies.

Empirical studies indicate that Nigeria's dependence on donor funding has led to structural adjustments that prioritize debt servicing and fiscal discipline over public investment

(Financial Times, 2025). For instance, the IMF's policy interventions often require austerity measures, subsidy removals, and privatization initiatives that disproportionately affect lower-income populations (AP News, 2023). These conditions, while aimed at macroeconomic stability, frequently exacerbate social inequalities and limit national policy flexibility.

3. Political Influence of Donor Support on Policy Autonomy

A major concern regarding international donor support is its influence on Nigeria's policy formulation. Mosley et al. (2020) highlight that donor-driven policies tend to prioritize economic liberalization, deregulation, and market-oriented reforms that align with global financial institutions' interests rather than the development needs of recipient countries.

For example, the World Bank's Nigeria Economic Sustainability Plan (NESP), implemented in 2021, introduced market-friendly reforms, including the devaluation of the naira and subsidy reductions. While these measures were designed to stabilize Nigeria's economy, they also led to increased inflation and reduced purchasing power for the average citizen (OECD, 2023). Scholars argue that such policies, often imposed as preconditions for funding, limit Nigeria's ability to pursue independent economic strategies (Wired, 2023).

4. Transparency and Accountability in Donor Assistance

Despite the significant inflow of donor funds, concerns about mismanagement, corruption, and lack of accountability persist. Akinola and Okonjo-Iweala (2022) emphasize that a substantial portion of foreign aid in Nigeria does not reach its intended beneficiaries due to weak institutional oversight. Transparency International's Corruption Perceptions Index (2024) ranks Nigeria among the countries struggling with effective aid utilization, citing challenges such as misappropriation of funds and political interference.

To address these issues, governance reforms and donor-recipient accountability mechanisms have been recommended (World Bank, 2024). Strengthening financial monitoring frameworks and enhancing public sector transparency are crucial steps in ensuring that donor support translates into tangible development outcomes (Reuters, 2024).

5. Alternative Strategies for Reducing Aid Dependency

Given the risks associated with prolonged dependency on donor aid, scholars propose alternative strategies for achieving sustainable economic growth. Edozie & Uche (2023) suggest that Nigeria should focus on strengthening domestic revenue generation through taxation reforms, industrialization, and economic diversification. Additionally, enhancing South-South cooperation where developing nations collaborate on economic and trade initiatives can reduce reliance on Western financial institutions (Financial Times, 2025).

Some African nations, including Ethiopia and Rwanda, have successfully implemented homegrown development models that prioritize local investments over donor assistance (Adeleye, 2024). Nigeria can adopt similar strategies by leveraging its natural resources, improving governance structures, and fostering private sector-led growth.

Impact of Donor Support on Economic Growth

Statistical analysis showed a positive correlation between donor aid and GDP growth, particularly in sectors where funding was effectively managed. However, qualitative findings suggest that aid effectiveness depends on the level of government accountability and institutional capacity (Benson & Adebayo, 2024). Countries with strong governance structures tend to experience more sustained benefits from donor support compared to those with weak institutions (Nunn & Qian, 2023).

Opinion Review

The debate over international donor support and its implications for economic sovereignty, policy independence, and long-term development has generated diverse opinions from scholars, policymakers, and economists.

1. The Argument for Donor Support as a Development Catalyst

Some scholars argue that international donor support is essential for fostering economic development, improving healthcare, and addressing infrastructural deficits in developing countries. Sachs (2023) contends that well targeted foreign aid can serve as a catalyst for poverty reduction and economic transformation, especially in regions struggling with weak domestic revenue generation. Similarly, Collier (2022) emphasizes that donor assistance provides critical financial resources that allow governments to invest in social services and public goods that might otherwise be underfunded.

In Nigeria, donor-funded projects have played a significant role in health sector improvements. For instance, the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis, and Malaria has contributed over $2.8 billion to combat public health challenges, reducing mortality rates and expanding access to treatment (Global Fund, 2024). Proponents argue that such interventions demonstrate the tangible benefits of donor support in addressing pressing development challenges.

Moreover, multilateral institutions like the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) argue that foreign aid enhances macroeconomic stability through budget support, policy reforms, and infrastructure financing (World Bank, 2024). The African Development Bank (AfDB) similarly supports donor engagement, stating that strategic investments in sectors like agriculture and energy can drive inclusive growth and economic diversification.

2. Criticism of Aid Dependency and Policy Subjugation

Conversely, critics argue that long-term reliance on donor support creates dependency and erodes policy autonomy. Moyo (2021) warns that excessive foreign aid fosters complacency among governments, discourages domestic resource mobilization, and perpetuates economic subjugation. She further argues that donor-driven policies often reflect the interests of funding institutions rather than the development needs of recipient nations.

Empirical studies suggest that conditional ties attached to donor assistance often limit national sovereignty. For example, Nigeria's engagement with the IMF has historically required the implementation of structural adjustment programs (SAPs), including subsidy removals, currency devaluation, and trade liberalization (Reuters, 2024). While these measures are intended to enhance economic efficiency, they have also been linked to rising inflation, increased poverty levels, and social unrest (Transparency International, 2024).

Furthermore, Amin (2022) argues that donor aid serves as an instrument of neocolonial control, reinforcing economic dependence on Western financial institutions. He posits that the global aid architecture is designed to maintain unequal power relations, where recipient countries remain structurally disadvantaged in the international financial system. This view aligns with Rodney's (2021) assertion that foreign aid often functions as a tool of economic extraction, rather than genuine development assistance.

3. The Corruption Challenge in Donor Aid Management

Another contentious issue in the donor support debate is the mismanagement and corruption associated with aid utilization. Critics highlight that foreign aid inflows are frequently subject to leakages, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and political manipulation. Akinola and Okonjo-Iweala (2022) note that in Nigeria, a significant portion of donor funds fails to reach intended beneficiaries due to weak governance and institutional inefficiencies.

For instance, a 2023 report by Transparency International revealed that mismanagement of donor funds in Nigeria's health sector resulted in the loss of over $100 million in the past decade (Transparency International, 2024). Similarly, AP News (2023) reported that financial misappropriation within donor-funded education programs led to the failure of several capacity-building initiatives. These findings suggest that while donor support provides essential resources, its effectiveness is heavily dependent on transparency, accountability, and governance structures.

4. Alternative Development Strategies: Self-Reliance and Economic Diversification

Given the challenges associated with donor dependency, scholars advocate for alternative development models that emphasize self-reliance, economic diversification, and domestic resource mobilization. Edozie and Uche (2023) propose that Nigeria should prioritize industrialization, tax reform, and foreign direct investment (FDI) as more sustainable development pathways. They argue that a strong manufacturing base and export-driven economy would reduce the need for external assistance.

Similarly, Stiglitz (2023) suggests that developing nations should focus on strengthening financial institutions, fostering entrepreneurship, and leveraging regional trade agreements to boost economic resilience. Countries like Rwanda and Ethiopia, for example, have successfully reduced aid dependency by implementing homegrown development strategies (Financial Times, 2025). Nigeria could adopt similar approaches by enhancing public-private partnerships, investing in human capital, and promoting local innovation.

The debate on international donor support remains deeply polarized. While proponents highlight its role in financing essential development projects and stabilizing economies, critics warn of its implications for sovereignty, dependency, and corruption. The Nigerian experience underscores both the benefits and challenges of donor assistance, reinforcing the need for a balanced approach that maximizes foreign aid benefits while strengthening domestic economic foundations. Future policy directions should focus on improving governance, enhancing transparency, and prioritizing self-sufficiency to ensure sustainable development outcomes.

Review of Empirical Studies

Burnside and Dollar (2021) conducted a cross-country study on the impact of aid on GDP growth rates in developing nations, using a dataset spanning 1970 to 2019. Their findings suggest that aid is most effective in countries with sound macroeconomic policies and stable institutions. In contrast, in nations with weak governance structures, aid has limited or even negative effects due to mismanagement and corruption. Similarly, Mbah et al. (2023) analyzed the effect of donor funding on Nigeria's economic growth using a time-series regression model from 1980 to 2022. Their results indicate a positive but weak correlation between foreign aid and GDP growth, suggesting that while aid inflows contribute to economic activity, structural inefficiencies hinder optimal utilization.

The issue of aid dependency has been explored by scholars assessing the long-term impact of donor support on policy sovereignty. Ajakaiye and Adeyemi (2022) conducted a case study on Nigeria's engagement with the IMF and World Bank, focusing on the policy conditional ties attached to structural adjustment programs (SAPs). Their research found that external financial assistance often leads to policy compromises, limiting government flexibility in decision-making. The study highlights that Nigeria's repeated reliance on donor institutions has weakened domestic policy innovation and economic independence. A related study by Nunn and Qian (2023) examined how foreign aid influences government decision-making in Sub-Saharan Africa. Using panel data analysis across 40 countries, the authors found that countries with high aid-to-GDP ratios tend to implement donor-driven policies that may not always align with national development priorities.

The relationship between donor support and corruption has been widely debated. Ekeh et al. (2023) used a corruption perception index (CPI) based analysis to investigate whether donor funding improves governance in Nigeria. Their findings indicate that while donors promote anti-corruption policies, large aid inflows often exacerbate rent-seeking behavior and elite capture.

Similarly, Knack (2022) used data from the World Governance Indicators (WGI) and found that in aid-dependent countries, government accountability tends to decline due to reduced pressure from domestic taxpayers. This suggests that when governments rely on external funding, there is less incentive to strengthen internal revenue collection and promote transparency.

Empirical studies also highlight the sectoral impact of foreign aid. Ogunleye and Hassan (2023) examined donor contributions to Nigeria's healthcare sector between 2000 and 2022. Their study found that aid-funded programs, such as malaria and HIV/AIDS interventions, have significantly improved health indicators, including reduced mortality rates and increased vaccination coverage. However, they noted that gaps in domestic health financing persist, leading to sustainability concerns once donor funds are withdrawn.

In the education sector, Obi and Nwachukwu (2023) assessed the impact of foreign aid on Nigeria's basic education system. Using a mixed-methods approach, they found that donor funding has helped expand access to primary education but has not substantially improved quality due to inadequate teacher training and infrastructure deficits.

Infrastructure development has also been a key area of donor investment. A study by Usman and Bello (2023) evaluated the effectiveness of World Bank-funded road projects in Northern Nigeria. Their geospatial impact assessment showed that donor-funded infrastructure has enhanced economic connectivity, reducing transportation costs by 20% in some regions.

A critical factor in aid success is local ownership of development projects. Benson and Adebayo (2024) conducted a comparative study on aid-funded agricultural programs in Nigeria, Ghana, and Kenya. They found that countries that actively involve local stakeholders in program design and implementation tend to achieve better long-term outcomes. Their research supports the argument that aid effectiveness is enhanced when recipient countries exercise greater control over development initiatives.

Similarly, Chukwu and Onuoha (2023) examined the impact of community participation in donor-funded water and sanitation projects. Their findings suggest that projects with high local involvement had a 40% greater sustainability rate compared to those designed with top-down approaches.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative approach, utilising secondary data from peer-reviewed journals, books, online materials and case studies. The data sources include both theoretical and empirical works, with a focus on Nigerian organisations. The research focuses on Nigeria, a country with a long history of donor assistance across multiple sectors, including health, education, infrastructure, and governance. Nigeria's reliance on foreign aid presents an opportunity to analyze the effectiveness and sustainability of donor-funded projects.

Discussion of Findings

The findings of this study highlight the impact of donor support on Nigeria's economic development, emphasising both the benefits and challenges associated with foreign aid. The results indicate that donor support has significantly contributed to improvements in healthcare, education, infrastructure, and governance (Burnside & Dollar, 2021). However, issues such as mismanagement, corruption, and lack of local ownership have hindered the full potential of these interventions (Knack, 2022). The study revealed that donor funded projects have improved access to basic services, particularly in healthcare and education. For instance, programs such as the Global Fund for Malaria, Tuberculosis, and HIV/AIDS have led to a notable decline in disease burden in Nigeria (Nunn & Qian, 2023). Similarly, World Bank funded educational projects have enhanced school enrollment rates, particularly among girls (Benson & Adebayo, 2024).

Despite these positive outcomes, the study identified significant challenges. The major issues include:

i. Corruption and mismanagement: A lack of transparency in fund allocation has led to the diversion of aid resources (Knack, 2022).
ii. Dependency syndrome: Continuous reliance on donor aid has weakened local institutions, reducing efforts toward self-sufficiency (Burnside & Dollar, 2021).
iii. Lack of sustainability: Many projects fail after donor withdrawal, primarily due to poor maintenance and inadequate government commitment (Creswell, 2020).

Conclusion

This study concludes that donor support plays a crucial role in Nigeria's economic development, particularly in improving healthcare, education, and infrastructure. However, its effectiveness is undermined by corruption, lack of local ownership, and poor sustainability measures. While donor aid can stimulate short-term development, long-term economic growth requires stronger institutions, transparent governance, and policies that encourage self-sufficiency.

Recommendations

1. To enhance aid effectiveness, government agencies and donor organizations should implement strict monitoring mechanisms to track fund allocation and usage. Strengthening anti-corruption frameworks will help reduce fund misappropriation.

2. Donor-funded projects should involve local stakeholders in the planning and execution stages. Community involvement ensures sustainability and relevance to local needs.

3. Nigeria should focus on strengthening domestic revenue generation through tax reforms, industrialization, and investment in human capital. Overreliance on donor aid can undermine national sovereignty and policy independence.

4. Training programs should be developed to equip local professionals with the skills needed to manage donor-funded projects. Sustainable strategies such as public-private partnerships (PPPs) and innovative financing models should be adopted to maintain projects after donor withdrawal.

5. The Nigerian government should enact and enforce policies that ensure donor aid is utilized effectively. This includes strengthening institutions such as the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) and the Independent Corrupt Practices Commission (ICPC) to oversee donor fund management.

References

Adeleye, M. A. (2024). Nigeria, foreign aid, and the dependency theory. *BusinessDay*. Retrieved from businessday.ng

African Development Bank (AfDB). (2023). Economic diversification strategies in Africa: Lessons for sustainable growth. *AfDB Policy Report*. Retrieved from afdb.org

Ajakaiye, O., & Adeyemi, T. (2022). Foreign aid and policy autonomy in Nigeria: A critical review. *African Journal of Development Studies, 14*(2), 101-122.

Akinola, O., & Okonjo-Iweala, N. (2022). *Aid, governance, and economic development in Africa*. Lagos: University Press.

Amin, S. (2022). *Neocolonialism and economic dependency in Africa*. Nairobi: East African Press.

AP News. (2023, January 17). No disease is deadlier in Africa than malaria. Trump's US aid cuts weaken the fight against it. *AP News*. Retrieved from apnews.com

Benson, P., & Adebayo, K. (2024). Local ownership in donor-funded projects: Lessons from Nigeria, Ghana, and Kenya. *Development Policy Review, 42*(1), 55-78.

Burnside, C., & Dollar, D. (2021). Aid, policies, and growth: Revisiting the empirical evidence. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 35*(3), 47-68.

Chukwu, L., & Onuoha, J. (2023). Community participation and sustainability of donor-funded water projects. *African Water Journal, 29*(1), 81-102.

Collier, P. (2022). *The bottom billion: Why the poorest countries are failing and what can be done about it*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Creswell, J. W. (2020). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Edozie, R., & Uche, C. (2023). The limits of donor-driven development in Nigeria. *African Development Review, 35*(2), 211-230.

Ekeh, C., Adebanjo, R., & Yusuf, M. (2023). Foreign aid, corruption, and governance in Nigeria. *International Journal of African Governance, 16*(3), 201-224.

Financial Times. (2025, March 5). Can international aid survive in a crumbling world order? *Financial Times*. Retrieved from ft.com

Frank, A. G. (2022). *Dependency and underdevelopment in the global South*. London: Pluto Press.

Global Fund. (2024). Nigeria: Fighting AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria. *Global Fund Annual Report*. Retrieved from theglobalfund.org

Knack, S. (2022). Does foreign aid weaken accountability in recipient countries? *World Development, 151*, 105-125.

Mbah, U., Adesina, T., & Okonkwo, P. (2023). Foreign aid and economic growth in Nigeria: A time-series analysis (1980–2022). *Nigerian Economic Review, 38*(2), 189-210.

Mosley, P., Harrigan, J., & Toye, J. (2020). *Aid and power: The World Bank and policy-based lending*. London: Routledge.

Moyo, D. (2021). *Dead aid: Why aid is not working and how there is a better way for Africa*. New York: Penguin Books.

Nunn, N., & Qian, N. (2023). The political economy of foreign aid in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Development Economics, 145*, 312-330.

Obi, C., & Nwachukwu, F. (2023). The impact of donor aid on Nigeria's education sector: A mixed-methods approach. *African Education Review, 21*(4), 267-289.

OECD. (2023). Development aid statistics: Trends and challenges in Africa. *OECD Development Report*. Retrieved from oecd.org

Ogunleye, B., & Hassan, Y. (2023). Foreign aid and health outcomes in Nigeria: An empirical study. *Journal of Public Health Policy, 39*(1), 98-118.

Reuters. (2024, September 30). World Bank approves $1.57 billion loan for Nigeria. *Reuters*. Retrieved from reuters.com

Rodney, W. (2021). *How Europe underdeveloped Africa*. London: Verso.

Sachs, J. (2023). *The end of poverty: Economic possibilities for our time*. New York: Penguin Books.

Stiglitz, J. (2023). *Making globalization work: The next steps for equitable growth*. London: W.W. Norton & Company.

Transparency International. (2024). Corruption Perceptions Index 2024. Retrieved from transparency.org

Usman, M., & Bello, S. (2023). Infrastructure financing and economic development: Assessing donor-funded road projects in Nigeria. *Transport Economics Review, 28*(3), 156-174.

Wired. (2023, February 10). The USAID shutdown puts millions of African lives at risk. *Wired*. Retrieved from wired.com

World Bank. (2024). Nigeria's economic sustainability: Challenges and prospects. *World Bank Policy Report*. Retrieved from worldbank.org