Asian Journal of

e-ISSN : 3025-4507 p-ISSN : 3025-5287

Index : Harvard, Boston, Sydney

University, Dimensions, Lens, Scilit, Semantic, Google, etc

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Art

https://doi.org/10.58578/AJSTEA.v1i1.1866

# PROPERTIES OF INFINITE MATRICES AND SEQUENCE SPACES

# Suresh Kumar Sahani & S.K. Tiwari

M.I.T. Campus, Janakpurdham, Nepal; Dr. C.V. Raman University, Bilaspur, India sureshkumarsahani35@gmail.com ; Sk10tiwari@gmail.com

# Article Info:Submitted:Revised:Accepted:Published:Sep 27, 2023Oct 1, 2023Oct 4, 2023Oct 7, 2023

# Abstract

Some general theorems on the product of matrices and their applications to infinite series have been obtained. All theorems include, as special cases, a set of well-known results. Several specific new results can also be deduced from it.

**Keywords:** Infinite matrices, T-matrix,  $\alpha$  -matrix and  $\gamma$  -matrix

# Introduction

The theory of summability transformations provides a means of assigning limits to divergent sequences by generalizing the concept of the limit of a sequence. These transformations can be categorized in the following ways: 1) Sequence-to-sequence transformations, and 2) Sequence-to-function transformations.

To create regular transformations, we may utilize the Silverman-Toeplitz theorem, which provides the necessary and sufficient criteria for a matrix to represent a regular method.

Volume 1, Issue 1, October 2023; 154-167 https://ejournal.vasin-alsys.org/index.php/AJSTEA

<u>©0\$0</u>

AJSTEA Journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

Several researchers have studied the distinctive features of sequence to a sequence, series to sequence, and series to series transformations matrices; for a thorough description, (see Dienes,1950) and (Cooke,1931 and 2022). The Silverman-Toeplitz theorem gives us the necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix to represent a standard method, a method we can then use to generate regular transformations. Dienes (1950) and Cooke (1931 and 2022) and others have studied the unique properties between sequence to sequence, series to sequence, and series to series transformations matrices. Hill has defined the sequence-toseries transition in 1939. Vermes (1946, 1949, 19551) and Ramanujan (1956) have investigated the products of two of the aforementioned matrices. A sequence can be transformed by a T-matrix, and specific examples include the methods of Cesàro, Riesz, Borel, Lindelöf, and Mittage-Leffler (Kirisci, 2015). Ray (2014), Kirisci (2015 and 2016), Nizamuddin (2021), Gangle and Sheikh (2012 and 2014), Altay and Basar (2007,2005 and 2003) and Sahani and Sahani et al. (2021 and 2022) were great mathematician who established some sequence spaces and their matrix transformation, integrated and differential sequence spaces, on the Taylor sequence space of non-absolute type which include the different spaces, the application domain of infinite matrices on classical sequence spaces, some applications of infinite matrices on some spaces, infinite matrices and almost bounded sequences, a note on almost convergence and some matrix transformations, the application of infinite matrices with algorithm, certain topological properties and the duals of the domain of a triangle matrix in sequence spaces on some Euler sequence spaces of non-absolute type, on some spaces of p-bounded variation and related matrix mapping, a certain studies on degree of approximation of functions by matrix transformation, and on certain series to series transformation and analytic continuations by matrix methods respectively.

#### Definition 1: An infinite matrix

 $P = (p_{\ell,q})$  is a  $\gamma$ -matrix if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \left| p_{\ell,g} - p_{\ell,g+1} \right| \le M \forall \ \ell \ge 1$$
(1)

and  $p_{\ell,g} \to 1 \text{ as } \ell \to \infty \forall g$ 

(2)





**Definition 2:** Let  $Q = (q_{\ell,g})$  be an infinite matrix. An infinite matrix Q is said to be a T-matrix if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \left| q_{\ell,g} \right| &\leq N \forall \ \ell \geq 1, \\ (3) \\ \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} q_{\ell,g} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } \ \ell \rightarrow \infty, \\ (4) \\ \text{and} \quad q_{\ell,g} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \ \ell \rightarrow \infty \forall g \end{split}$$
(5)

The transformation of the series  $\sum a_g$  by the matrix  $P \equiv (p_{\ell,g})$  into a convergent series  $\sum a_\ell$ , so that  $b_\ell = \sum_g p_{\ell g} a_g$ .

It is abundantly evident that the sufficient and necessary condition for the matrix P to be regular is that,, in which case we shall call it an  $\alpha$  -matrix and the product of a  $\gamma$  -matrix and an  $\alpha$  -matrix is a  $\gamma$  -matrix, and the product of two  $\alpha$  -matrices is an  $\alpha$  -matrix (Vermes, 1949).

The matrix  $P \equiv (p_{\ell g})$  is an  $\alpha$ -matrix if and only if  $Q = q_{0g} + q_{1g} + \ldots + q_{\ell g}$  is a  $\gamma$ -matrix.

 $\alpha$  -matrix satisfies the following conditions:

 $\sum_{g=0}^\infty \left|q_{\ell g}-q_{\ell g+1}\right| \leq M$  for  $\ell=0,1,2,\ldots$ 

and  $\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} q_{\ell g} = 1$  for every g (Vermes, 1949).

Let P is the lower semi- $\gamma$  matrix of ordinary convergence

$$P \equiv \begin{array}{c} 1,0,0,\dots \\ 1,1,0,\dots \\ 1,1,1,\dots \\ -,-,-,\dots \end{array}$$

then the unit matrix is the corresponding  $\alpha$  -matrix.

Note (1):  $P(\lambda)$  is an  $\alpha$  -matrix iff  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ .

(2)  $P(\lambda)$  is an  $\alpha$  -matrix iff it is non-negative (Vermes, 1949).

(3) the product  $\alpha$ T may not exist.



**Proof:** Let Q be C (1) matrix (see Cooke, (1950)) and

P =	[1	1	1	1]
	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0
	L			· · · · · · J

then P is  $\alpha$ , Q is T, and PQ does not exist.

#### Main theorems:

Let  $P \equiv (p_{\ell g})$  be a  $\gamma_A$  matrix. Let, in addition

$$\lim_{g \to \infty} p_{\ell g} = p_{\ell}$$
(6)

where  $p_\ell$  exists finitely.

We prove the following theorems:

**Theorem 1:** The row limit of  $\alpha$  -matrix corresponding to  $\gamma$  -matrix exists and is finite.

**Theorem 2:** The row limit of the product R=PQ of a  $\gamma$  -matrix P and an  $\alpha$  -matrix Q exists and is

$$r_{\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{\ell i} q_i$$
(7)

**Theorem 3:** The elements of a  $\gamma$  -matrix are bounded.

Theorem 4: The row limit of the product D=QE of two matrices exists and is

$$d_{\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q_{\ell i} \cdot e_i$$
(8)

**Theorem 5:** If P is a  $\gamma$  -matrix, the matrix defined by  $\left(\frac{1}{p_{\ell,g}}\right)$  is also a  $\gamma$  -matrix iff  $\frac{1}{p_{\ell,g}} \ge$ 

L > 0 where

 $L = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_g|$  is finite and  $\sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i = \lambda \neq 0$ .

Proof of theorem 5: for sufficient condition,

$$\sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{p_{\ell,g}} - \frac{1}{p_{\ell,g+1}} \right| =$$



$$\sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{p_{\ell,g+1} - p_{\ell,g}}{p_{\ell,g} \cdot p_{\ell,g+1}} \right| \le \frac{M}{L^2} \text{ (using theorem 3 and given condition)}$$
  
and  $\frac{1}{p_{\ell,g}} \to 1 \text{ as } \ell \to \infty$ 

The necessity follows from (Sahani, 2022).

**Definition 3:** The average value of the series as a whole  $\sum u_g$  by the matrix P is

$$t = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} p_{\ell,g} u_g$$
(9)

assuming that the infinite series on the right hand side is convergent for all l, and that there is a limit to the sum of its terms, which can be written as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 4:** The matrix P is regular if  $\lim_{\ell \to \infty} \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} p_{\ell,g} u_g \rightleftharpoons \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} p_{\ell,g+1} u_g$ (10)

**Definition 5:** The matrix P is semi-regular if  $\lim_{\ell \to \infty} \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} p_{\ell,g} u_g \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} p_{\ell,g+1} u_g$ 

**Definition 6:** Let  $S = (s_{\ell,g})$  be an infinite matrix. If we remove the first r columns of S, then we obtain a new matrix  $S^g = (S_{\ell,g+r})$  then it is known as  $r^{th}$  diminutive of S.

**Theorem 6:** The diminutive of a  $\gamma$  -matrix P is a  $\gamma$  -matrix. If P is regular or semi-regular then it becomes  $P^{(r)}$ .

**Proof of theorem 6:** It is clear that the equations (1) and (2) are satisfied by  $P^{(r)}$ .

Also, regularity or semi-regularity follows from the following identity

$$\sum_{g=1}^{\infty} p_{\ell,g+r} u_g = \sum_{g=1+r}^{\infty} p_{\ell,g} u_{g-r}$$

and from definition 4 or definition 5.

**Definition 7:** Let  $S = (s_{\ell,g})$  be an infinite matrix. The matrix  $S^{rx}$ , is obtained from the matrix S by repeating each column  $r^{th}$  times. Then it is known as r fold sketched matrix.

**Theorem 7:** A sketched  $\gamma$  -matrix is a  $\gamma$  -matrix. Sketching may destroy regularity or semi-regularity.



**Proof of theorem 7:** If the matrix S satisfies the definition (1), then we can easily obtain  $S^{rx}$ .

For next part,

we consider Borel's  $\gamma$  -matrix,  $p_{\ell,g} = \frac{1}{g!} \int_0^\ell e^{-z} \cdot z^g dz$ 

$$= 1 - e^{-\ell} \left( 1 + \frac{\ell}{1!} + \frac{\ell^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{\ell^g}{g!} \right)$$

which sums the series 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + ... (where  $\ell$  and g is non-negative number) to the value  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

By def<sup>n</sup> of  $P^{2x}$ , we obtain

$$t_\ell = \sum_{g=1}^\infty p_{\ell,g}^{2x} (-1)^g$$

$$= p_{\ell,0} - p_{\ell,0} + p_{\ell,1} - p_{\ell,1} + \ldots = 0$$

and  $t_{\ell}^{1} = \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} p_{\ell,g+1}^{2x} (-1)^{g}$ 

$$= p_{\ell,0} - p_{\ell,1} + p_{\ell,1} - p_{\ell,2} + p_{\ell,2} \dots = p_{\ell,0}$$

 $:: t_{\ell} \to 0, t_{\ell}^1 \to 1$ , shows that  $P^{2x}$  is not semi-regular, while P is semi-regular. It is clear that  $P^{2x}$  is not consistent with P.

**Theorem 8:** Let  $z_g = x_g + iy_g$  lie in an angle  $\psi(\psi < \pi)$  of the complex plane whose vertex at the origin and let  $\lim_{g \to \infty} |\sum_{\ell=1}^g z_\ell| = \infty$ . If the non-negative  $\gamma$  -matrix  $(P_g(w))$ transforms  $\sum_{g=1}^\infty z_g$  into  $\gamma(w)$ , then  $\lim_{w \to \infty} |\gamma(w)| = \infty$  (where  $g > g_0$ ).

**Proof of theorem 8:** Case 1: Let  $z_g$  be non-negative real numbers for  $g > g_0$ , then for a number  $r > g_0$ ,

$$\sum_{g=1}^{\infty} p_g(w) \cdot z_g = \left[\sum_{g=1}^r + \sum_{g=r+1}^{\infty}\right] p_r(w) z_g$$
$$= \sum_{g=1}^r p_r(w) z_g + \sum_{g=r+1}^{\infty} p_r(w) z_g$$

 $\geq 0$  and the limit of the first sum on the right is



 $\sum_{g=1}^{r} z_g = S_r$ , where  $S_r$  is the  $r^{th}$  partial sum of the infinite series  $\sum_{g=2}^{\infty} z_g$ . Thus all the points of left hand side are  $\geq S_r$  i.e.  $r^{th}$  partial sum.

$$\therefore \qquad \lim_{g \to \infty} \left| S_g \right| = \infty$$

i.e. 
$$\lim_{r\to\infty} |S_r| = \infty$$

similarly, we can show that  $\lim_{g\to\infty} |S_g| = \infty$  where  $z_g$  is a negative real number for  $g > g_0$ .

Case (2): Let  $z_g$  be a complex number for  $g > g_0$ . Without loss of generality we may assume a suitable number  $e^{i\phi}$  and multiplying by all term by  $e^{i\phi}$  in which the axes so that the consider angle  $\psi$  is bisected by only real axis and hence obtain  $x_g$  which a non-negative real number in which  $x_g = R(z_g)$ .

If  $\delta_g$  = amplitude of  $z_g$ , then  $-\frac{\psi}{2} < \delta_g < \psi_2$ , so that, when  $g > g_0$ ,

$$x_g = |z_g|\cos\delta_g > |z_g|\cos\frac{\psi}{2}$$

 $\Rightarrow \sum_{\ell=1}^{g} x_{\ell} > \cos \frac{\psi}{z} \sum_{\ell=1}^{g} |z_{\ell}| \quad \left(0 < \frac{\psi}{2} < \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ Thus,  $\lim \sum_{\ell=1}^{g} x_{\ell} = \pm \infty$ 

Thus,  $\lim_{g \to \infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{g} x_g = +\infty$ .

By case (1), we may write

$$\sum_{g=1}^{\infty}p_g(w)x_g \to +\infty$$

 $\Rightarrow \left|\sum_{g=1}^{\infty} p_g(w) z_g\right| \to +\infty.$ 

**Theorem 9:** Each bounded and unbounded terminal point of an infinite series of partial sums  $\sum V_g$  is the generalized sum of the series  $\sum V_g$  for some positive  $\gamma$  -matrix.

**Proof of theorem 9:** Let  $t_g = v_1 + v_2 + \ldots + v_g$ 

$$=\sum_{\ell=1}^g v_g$$

and t is a finite limit point of the partial sum  $t_g$ , then there exists a subsequence  $t_{r_g}$  which also has a same finite limit t.



i.e. 
$$t_{r_g} \to t$$
.  
If  $\overline{\lim_{g \to \infty}} |t_g| = \infty \Rightarrow \overline{\lim_{g \to \infty}} |t_{r_g}| = \infty$   
i.e.  $|t_{r_g}| \to \infty$  but

amplitude  $t_{r_g} \longrightarrow \alpha$  for some real values of  $\alpha$ .

From above two cases we may conclude that there exists  $\gamma$  -matrix  $p_{\ell,g} = 1$  for  $1 \le g \le r_\ell$ 

and  $p_{\ell,g} = 0$  for  $g > r_\ell$ 

where  $\ell = 1, 2, ...,$  which transforms  $\sum v_g$  into  $\sum_{g=1}^{\infty} p_{\ell,g} v_g = t_{r_\ell}$ .

# Proof of theorem 2:

We define 
$$Q = (q_{\ell g})$$
 be  $\alpha$  -matrix corresponding to  $\gamma$  matrix  $P = (p_{\ell g})$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} q_{1g} &= p_{1g} \qquad (g \geq 1) \\ q_{\ell g} &= p_{\ell g} - p_{\ell-1,g} \qquad (\ell > 1, g \geq 1) \end{aligned}$$
 Therefore

licicióic

$$egin{aligned} & \lim_{g o \infty} q_{\ell g} = \lim_{g o \infty} (p_{\ell g} - p_{\ell-1,g}) \ & = p_\ell - p_{\ell-1} \end{aligned}$$

 $= q_{\ell} \text{ (say)}$ (12)

where  $q_{\ell}$  is a finite for all  $\ell$ .

#### Proof of theorem 3:

We may write

$$|p_{\ell g}| = \left| p_{\ell g} + \sum_{r=2}^{\ell} (p_{rg} - p_{r-1,g}) \right|$$
$$\leq |p_{\ell g}| + \left| \sum_{r=2}^{\ell} (p_{rg} - p_{r-1,g}) \right|$$



$$< |p_{\ell g}| + \sum_{r=2}^{\ell} |p_{rg} - p_{r-1,g}|$$
  
 $< |p_{\ell g}| + M(P)$ 

< N(P)

(13)

where N(P) is independent of  $\ell$  and g.

# **Proof of theorem 2:**

We have

$$r_{\ell g} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{\ell i} q_{ig}$$
(14)

and

$$\lim_{g \to \infty} r_{\ell g} = \lim_{g \to \infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{\ell i} q_{ig} \right)$$
(15)

hence by using (13) and [8], we may conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| r_{\ell g} \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| p_{\ell i} \right| \left| q_{ig} \right| \\ &< N(P)M(P) \\ &< N(P) \end{aligned}$$

where the constants N(P) is independent of  $\ell$  and g.

Thus by (12) and (14), we may conclude that

$$\lim_{g\to\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\{\lim_{g\to\infty}(p_{\ell i}q_{ig})\right\}_{\ell g}$$

 $\Rightarrow \qquad r_{\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{\ell i} q_i$ 

This concludes the demonstration of the theorem's validity. In a similar vein, we are able to demonstrate that theorem (4).



**Definition 7:** A T-matrix  $A_z(a_{\ell g})$  is defined to be of type M if (Hill, 1939)

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |p_{\ell}| < \infty, \\ & \text{and } \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} p_{\ell} a_{\ell g} = 0 \forall g = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ & \Rightarrow \qquad p_{\ell} = 0 \forall \ell. \end{split}$$

**Definition 8:** A  $\gamma$  -matrix is  $P = (p_{\ell g})$  is defined to be of the type M if  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |d_{\ell}| < \infty$ (15<sup>\*</sup>)

and

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} d_{\ell} (p_{\ell g} - p_{\ell-1,g}) = 0$$
(16)
$$\Rightarrow d_{\ell} = 0 \forall \ell.$$
(17)

Ramanujan (1956) has proved the following theorems:

**Theorem A:** The product R = PQ of a  $\gamma$  -matrix P of type M and an  $\alpha$  -matrix Q of type M is a  $\gamma$  -matrix of type M.

**Theorem B:** If C and D are  $\alpha$  -matrices of type M, so is their product matrix E.

**Theorem C:** If the product E = CD of two  $\alpha$  -matrices of type M then C is of type M.

**Theorem 10:** The product R = PQ of a  $\gamma$  - matrix P of type M and an  $\alpha$  -matrix Q of type M is a  $\gamma$  -matrix type M.

**Theorem 11:** If the product E = CD of type  $\alpha$  -matrices C and D be of type M, then C must be of type M.

**Theorem 12:** The product of two  $\alpha$  -matrices of type M is an  $\alpha$  -matrix of type M.

# Proof of theorem 10:

We have

$$(R)_{\ell g} = (PQ)_{\ell g},$$
  
$$r_{\ell g} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{\ell i} q_{ig} \qquad (\ell, g \ge 1)$$

therefore



$$r_{\ell g} - r_{\ell-1,g} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (p_{\ell i} - p_{\ell-1,i}) q_{ig}$$
(18)

and

$$\sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} a_{\ell} \left( r_{\ell g} - r_{\ell-1,g} \right) = \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} a_{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( p_{\ell i} - p_{\ell-1,i} \right) q_{ig}$$

then by [8] and definition 7, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} a_{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( p_{\ell i} - p_{\ell-1,i} \right) \leq \\ \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} |a_{\ell}| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |p_{\ell,i} - p_{\ell-1,i}| |q_{ig}| \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |q_{ig}| \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} |a_{\ell}| \cdot |p_{\ell i} - p_{\ell-1,i}| \\ < M(P) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |q_{ig}| \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} |a_{\ell}| \\ < M(P) M_a \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |q_{ig}| \\ < M(P) M_a M(Q) \\ < M \end{split}$$

The right-hand term in Equation (18) can be rearranged to obtain by switching the order of the summations.

$$\sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} q_\ell \big( r_{\ell g} - r_{\ell-1,g} \big)$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty}q_{\ell}\left(p_{\ell i}-p_{\ell-1,i}\right)\right]q_{ig}$$
(19)

also, by (6) and given theorem 3, we obtain



$$\sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} |a_{\ell}(p_{\ell i} - p_{\ell-1,i})|$$
$$< 2M(P) \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} |a_{\ell}|$$

 $< \infty$  (by definition)

Now, if we assume that  $\sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} q_{\ell}(r_{\ell g} - r_{\ell-1,g}) = 0 \forall g$  then because  $Q = (q_{\ell g})$  is an  $\alpha$  -matrix of type M, we get

$$\sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} q_{\ell} (p_{\ell i} - p_{\ell-1,i}) = 0 \forall i$$
(20)
$$\Rightarrow q_{\ell} = 0 \qquad \text{(by definition)}$$
(21)

 $\therefore$  *P* is also given to be a  $\gamma$  -matrix of type M.

Combining (18), (19), (20) and (21), we get the required result.

# **Proof of theorem 11:**

For the proof, we assume on the contrary that  $\alpha$  -matrix  $C = (c_{\ell g})$  is not of type M. Then we obtain a sequence  $\{a'_{\ell}\}$  satisfying the conditions

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |a'_{\ell}| < \infty$$
(22)
$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a'_{\ell} \cdot c_{\ell g} = 0$$
(23)

but

 $a'_{\ell} \neq 0 \forall \ell$ (24)

Since C and D are  $\alpha$  -matrices,

 $|c_{\ell i}| < N(C) \quad (\ell \ge 1)$ and  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |d_{\ell g}| < M(D)$  independent of g.

Then by (22)



 $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a'_{\ell} c_{\ell i} d_{ig}, \text{ is absolutely convergent } \forall g.$ 

Thus by (23),

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a'_{\ell} e_{\ell g} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} c'_{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_{\ell i} d_{ig}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a'_{\ell} \cdot c_{\ell i} \right] d_{ig}$$

= 0

(25)

since  $a'_{\ell} \neq 0 \forall \ell = 1$  and (25) contradicts the hypothesis that E = CD is of type M. Thus, C must be type M.

#### **Proof of theorem 12:**

Let P be  $\gamma$  -matrix corresponding to  $\alpha$  -matrix C.

If E = CD be the product of two  $\alpha$  -matrices C and D then

$$e_{\ell g} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_{\ell i} d_{ig}$$
  $(\ell, g \ge 1)$   
=  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (p_{\ell i} - p_{\ell-1,i}) d_{ig}$ 

$$\therefore e_{\ell g} = r_{\ell g} - r_{\ell-1,g}$$
(26)

say it follows from theorem (10) the  $R = (r_{\ell g})$  is  $\gamma$  -matrix of type M.

Further it obvious, from (26), that R is  $\gamma$  -matrix corresponding to the  $\alpha$  -matrix E.

Then by hypothesis, E = CD is  $\alpha$  -matrix of type M.

# Conclusion

Using absolute permanent matrix transformation, we have proven certain general theorems on the product of matrices and their applicability to infinite series.



#### References

- Altay, B. Basar, F. ((2007). Certain topological properties and the duals of the domain of a triangle matrix in sequence spaces, J. Math. Analysis and Appl., 336, 632-645.3.3.
- Altay, B. Basar, F. (2005). On some Euler Sequence spaces of non- absolute type, Urkainian. Math. J. 57(1), 1-17, 5.1.
- Basar, F. and Altay. (2003). On some sequence spaces of p-bounded variation and related matrix mapping, Urakanian Math. J., 55(10), 136-147, 3.2
- Cooke, R.G. (1950). Infinite matrices and sequence spaces. Moumillan.
- Dienes, P. (1931). Taylor series. Oxford.
- Gangle, A. H. and Sheikh, N.A. (2014). Infinite matrices and Almost Bounded Sequences, Vietnam J. Math., 42, 153-157.
- Gangle, A. H., and Sheikh, N.A. (2012). A note on almost convergence and some matrix transformations, Int. J. Mod. Math. Sci. 4, 126-132.
- Hill, J.D. (1939). On the space  $(\gamma)$  of convergent series, Tohoku Math. Jour., 45, 332-337.
- Kirisci, M. (2015). Integrated and differential sequence spaces, 2015(1), Artcle ID: Jnna-002666, 2-16, doi: 10.5899/2015/jnna-00266.02.
- Kirisci, M. (2015). On the Taylor sequence spaces of non-absolute type which include the spaces  $c_0$  and c, J. Math. Anal. 6(2), 22-35.
- Kirisci, M. (2016). The application Domain of Infinite matrices on classical Sequence spaces, Department of mathematical Education, Hassa Ali Yuce Education Faculty, Istanbul University, Vega, 34470, Turkey,2016., arXiv:1611.06138v1[Math.F. A.], 18 November.
- Krisci, M. (2015). The application of infinite matrices with algorithms, Universal Journal of Mathematics and Applications, 1(11), 1-9.
- Nizamuddin, B. H. and Muftah, M.A. (2021). Some applications of infinite matrices on some spaces, Libyyan Journal of Science and Technology, 13(1), 57-59.
- Ramanujan, M.S. (1956). Existence and classification of products of summability matrices, Proc. Indian Academy of Sciences, section A, 44, 171-184.
- Ray, S. (2014). Some sequence spaces and their matrix transformation, KUSET, Vol. 10, No.1, November, 73-78.
- Sahani, S.K. and Jha D. (2021). A certain studies on degree of approximation of functions by matrix transformation, The mathematics Education, Vol. LV, No. 2, 21-33.
- Sahani, S.K., Paudel, G.P., Ghimire, J.L., and Thakur, A.K. (2022). On certain series to series transformationand analytic continuations by matrix methods, Nepal Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 3, No. 1, 75-80.
- Vermes, P. (1946). Product of a T-matrix and a  $\gamma$  -matrix, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, Vol. 21, 129-134.
- Vermes, P. (1949). Series to Series Transformation and Analytic Continuation by Matrix Methods, Amer. Jour. Math., 71, 541-562.
- Vermes, P. (1951). Conservative Series to Series Transformation Matrices, Acta Scientarum Mathematicarum (Szegad), 14, 23-38.

