African Journal of
Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Research

ISSN : 3434-6120

Index: Harvard, Boston, Sydney University, Dimensions, Lens, ResearchGet Scilit, Semantic, Google Scholar, Base etc

https://doi.org/10.58578/AJBMBR.v2i2.5306

Cutting-Edge Discoveries in Cancer Biology and Therapeutic Development

Muhammad Akram[1], Muhammad Abdullah[2], Momina Iftikhar[3], Isaac John Umaru[4]
[1,2,3]Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan
[4]Federal University Wukari. Taraba State. Nigeria
makram_0451@yahoo.com

Article Info:

Submitted:	Revised:	Accepted:	Published:
Mar 3, 2025	Mar 18, 2025	Mar 30, 2025	Apr 5, 2025

Abstract

Growth in precision medicine, immunotherapy, genetics, and new therapeutic approaches is driving the rapid progression of cancer science and drug discovery. Greater tailor-made treatments attacking specific genetic abnormalities and immune systems have become an alternative to the one-size-fits-all approach, bringing renewed hope to patients for improved outcomes and fewer adverse effects. The most critical growth impacting the face of cancer research and drug discovery is summarized in this brief. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) and other methods enable complete genomic profiling, which identifies actionable mutations and guides targeted therapy. Stepping away from blanket chemotherapy, scientists can develop more effective and less toxic drugs by understanding the specific mutations that create each patient's cancer. By blocking the brakes of the immune system, these drugs allow T cells to better fight cancer cells. However, research is ongoing to maximize these treatments' efficacy and safety, particularly in the case of solid tumors. Targeting some of the TME components, such as the extracellular matrix or TME-dwelling immune cells, could provide new treatment strategies. Huge amounts of genetic information are being evaluated, potential medicine candidates are being identified, and patient

AJBMBR Journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

outcomes are being forecast by AI algorithms. In addition, machine learning algorithms are being employed to improve patient stratification, optimize clinical trial design, and personalize treatment regimens using real-time data. Combination Treatments: Combination therapies employing multiple mechanisms of action are gaining increasing popularity as a result of the flexibility and complexity of cancer. For maximizing efficacy and overcoming resistance, researchers are incorporating immunotherapy with targeted therapy, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and other modalities. The goal is to keep tumor cells from evading treatment by attacking cancer from a variety of angles. These combinations are currently under investigation in clinical trials, which have had promising results, particularly in cancers such as breast, lung, and melanoma.

Keywords: Patient-Specific Healthcare Strategies, Genomic Alteration, Malignant Cellular Metabolism, Chemoresistance in Cancer, Blood-Based Cancer Diagnostics

Introduction

With a projected 8.2 million deaths globally each year by 2030, cancer is one of the primary causes of mortality. Although our knowledge of cancer biology has expanded dramatically over the past 10 years, with many new potential disease targets appearing, the capacity to convert these discoveries into effective treatments has been restricted, with a failure rate of about 90%. Pharma companies' "Valley of Death" in developing cancer therapies becomes an extremely complex phenomenon if the R&D spending of pharmaceutical companies diminishes and introducing a new molecular entity to the marketplace increases. This mini-review delivers insight into refining the accuracy and efficacy of cancer pharmacotherapy development while explaining curiosity-driven research and strict preclinical and clinical drug discovery processes utilized in the industry. Because DNA is the most important molecule in cell replication and tumor cells proliferate faster than normal cells, the search for anticancer drugs has been in progress for over 50 years. Due to this, DNA is often the therapeutic target of anticancer drugs, and the vast majority of drugs currently used damage DNA, which inhibits cell growth and ultimately leads to cell death. Yet, a new generation of cancer treatment has emerged in the past 10 to 30 years, when chronic oral therapy with molecularly targeted drugs has supplanted the administration of cytotoxic drugs and nonspecific chemotherapy for tumor treatment. Novel and modern treatments against

cancer have been facilitated through an understanding of the numerous genetic and functional biological features that distinguish tumor cells from normal cells. These features are known as hallmarks of cancer. (Compton et al., 2020) Most anticancer drugs are specifically crafted to target specific molecular targets that possess these features. Ten features of cancer cells, including sustained proliferative signals, evading growth suppressors, and limiting cell death, are what drive tumor growth and spread. Several biopharmaceuticals, such as small molecules, monoclonal antibodies, and non-antibody proteins, have been developed and utilized to treat various types of cancer on the basis of these target identification hallmarks. More than 200 anticancer biopharmaceuticals are already licensed, and several more have reached phase I and II clinical trials or other stages of preclinical research. These drugs, which are used as sole agents or in combination regimens now transforming the treatment of cancer by making some previously lethal cancers chronic rather than lethal diseases, are all obvious examples of the new discipline of personalized medicine. Yet their long-term ability to stabilize or cure malignant diseases remains constrained by primary or secondary drug resistance, the persistence of cancer stem cells, and unwanted drug side effects. (Dragu et al., 2015) Although oral administration of these drugs is associated with a better quality of life, relapse is a near certainty following cessation of therapy, and therapeutic failure and poor tolerability are not uncommon. Furthermore, the transition to targeted agents is introducing new paradigms in cancer treatment, wherein the increasing number of oral chemotherapies is making compliance with treatment a more critical issue. (Foulon et al., 2011) The mechanism of drug resistance in targeted cancer therapy is closely and extensively associated with tumor heterogeneity and could be the component of selective pressure that the therapy's melanoma cells and human lung adenocarcinoma cells impose on cells under pharmacological stress. Another example is a patient with metastatic breast cancer who was treated with its inhibitor BYL719, and the patient showed prolonged clinical response. The patient, however, developed a resistance to this drug and died a short time later. (Little et al., 2002). The capacity of translational studies of targeted drugs to generate more clinically significant data is vital since it could be applied in a bid to investigate routes of resistance and rational medication combinations as well as inform "go-go" or "no-go" decisions. (Neville et al., 2020) Two different approaches are often the center of efforts currently to understand resistance.

Patient-Specific Healthcare Strategies

Using this approach, physicians and scientists will have the ability to more accurately predict which disease preventive and treatment programs will work with different populations. This is contrary to a "one-size-fits-all" approach, where preventative and treatment programs are established for the broad population without addressing individual traits. The concept of "precision medicine" has long been part of healthcare, though the term has only recently appeared. For example, blood from an arbitrarily selected donor is not transfused to someone in need of a transfusion. To reduce the likelihood of issues, the blood group of the recipient is matched against that of the donor. While there are examples across most clinical specialties, precision medicine has a relatively minor role in day-to-day healthcare. Researchers envision that in coming years, the strategy will be used in multiple aspects of health and healthcare. As one of their treatment options, many patients with various types of cancers often undergo molecular testing, allowing physicians to select therapies that optimize survival and minimize the risk for side effects. For patients and healthcare providers to get accurate and clinically relevant results, the FDA is attempting to ensure the accuracy of NGS testing. The FDA also has other regulatory challenges due to the enormous amount of data generated by NGS. Even though the current regulatory approaches are appropriate for conventional diagnostics that detect one disease or condition (like blood glucose or cholesterol), a single test with these new sequencing methods is equivalent to millions of tests. To develop a nimble regulatory strategy for this rapidly evolving technology, the FDA has collaborated with key industry stakeholders, laboratories, academic centers, and patient and professional organizations. The FDA has also employed advanced open-source computing technology and consensus to aid in the creation of NGS testing. This approach will enhance testing and research innovation and accelerate the availability of accurate and reliable genetic testing. A better understanding of the molecular etiology of diseases is the basis of precision medicine, which allows us to better identify patients who will respond to a specific course of therapy. It outlines the general observation that individuals who seem to share the same clinical diagnosis or symptoms often respond differently to the same treatment regimen. In contrast to the traditional "targeted therapies at the individuals who are most likely to profit from them. Patient characteristics referred to as biomarkers—markers of disease processes or response to therapy—are the basis on which the ability to target medicines. (Mirnezami et al., 2021)

Genomic Alteration

It's a precise method that allows scientists to eliminate and add DNA into targeted areas. Debates related to the ethical and social implications of human genetic modification have been reinforced by the significant advancement in gene-editing tools. The double-helix model of DNA was revealed in the 1950s, and since then, at least, the notion of using gene editing to cure diseases or modify traits has been around. As a result of the latter's identification, there was inevitable speculation that hereditary disorders might be avoided or reversed by finding the "molecular errors" that cause them. This method worked fine for some scenarios but was difficult to use with a narrow scope. In order to prevent and treat human illnesses, researchers are designing gene therapies, which are medicines containing the modification of the DNA. Genome editing tools can help treat genetically inherited diseases such as diabetes and cystic fibrosis. Gene therapies are two different types: both somatic and germline treatment. The DNA of reproductive cells such as sperm and eggs is modified by germline treatments. DNA changes in reproductive cells are passed on to future generations. Yet the somatic approaches target non-reproductive cells. The changes inflicted on these non-reproductive cells affect only the patient receiving the gene therapy. In 2015, one-year-old British girl Layla received gene editing treatment to help her fight a type of cancer called leukemia, and doctors did use somatic gene therapy, but they employed TALEN instead of using CRISPR to cure Layla. Genome editing is just one of the methods scientists use to examine numerous human diseases. Because they have numerous genes in common with humans, they modify the genomes of animals such as zebrafish and mice. Humans and mice, for example, share more than 85% of the same genes! Scientists can research the consequences of modifying one or more mouse genes on the health of the animal and make hypotheses about the possible effects of similar modifications on the human genome. (Gaj et al., 2016)

Biological cancer therapy

Active immunotherapy employs the immune system to specifically target the tumor cells. CAR-T cells, antibody-targeted therapy, and treatment cancer vaccines, also called treatment vaccines, are some of them. These vaccines aim to make the body's immune system stronger and fight cancer. Passive immunotherapy, however, augments the immune system's ability to fight tumor cells instead of targeting them specifically. Cytokines and

checkpoint inhibitors are just a couple of them. By finding precise markers known as antigens, activated cell therapies aim to kill off cancer cells. New immunotherapy drugs are being created by healthcare professionals to treat other types of cancers. Your immune system is a great defense system, but sometimes it can be too good at what it does. To prevent it from overreacting against invaders and causing damage to healthy cells, your body has checkpoints. For example, white blood cells called T lymphocytes, or T cells, are manufactured by your bone marrow. T lymphocytes fight cancer cells and protect your body from disease. T cell surface proteins are linked to immune checkpoints. T lymphocytes receive instructions from checkpoint proteins and other proteins that decide when to turn on and off. (Think about traffic monitors that control the traffic flow by turning on and off stoplights.) In order to kill cancer cells, T lymphocytes are activated. So that they won't hurt healthy cells, they are deactivated. The protein cells can't command the T cells to deactivate if the connection is cut. This way, the T cells continue killing cancer cells. (Farkona et al., 2016)

Treatment resistance

Successful treatment of cancer is thwarted by the onset of drug resistance. The majority of cancer patients develop resistance during chemotherapy, radiation, molecularly targeted therapy, and immunotherapy that impedes their long-term survival. Drug resistance and treatment failure can be enabled by a host of factors. Resistance to medication can be generated by genetic alterations that preexist within the tumor or are induced by therapy, but malignancies can also escape medication action through nongenetic and epigenetic mechanisms. Tumor heterogeneity within or between individuals, on which heterogeneous or incomplete treatment response is based, and tumor microenvironment influences, which modify both drug exposure and the response, are other causes of therapeutic resistance. It is important to know that cancer medication sensitivity and resistance and the complex resistance mechanisms may coexist within the same cancer or within distinct metastatic breast cancers within the same patient. A complex clinical syndrome, drug resistance in breast cancer is due to numerous molecular alterations. Although receptor-targeted medications have shown great promise in the fight against hormone-positive breast cancers in recent years, a number of studies have revealed that the selective pressure of therapy can affect tumor progression. To make matters more complicated, resistance brought on by

targeted treatments may be a factor, and vice versa, because chemotherapy is frequently used in conjunction with targeted therapies for clinically ER+ or HER-2+ subtypes. 121–123. Each mechanism of resistance is associated with a specific set of treatment regimens and drugs, which are suited to the individual clinical situation. Agents specifically blocking each pathway are targeted in early clinical studies. For instance, verapamil blocks the drug efflux pump, whereas rapamycin targets hormone therapy resistance. Acquired resistance to treatments is one of the principal barriers to successful cancer therapy. At the early stages of tumor development, when genetic mutations lead to derangements of the classical caspase-mediated apoptosis, relative resistance is normally acquired; the pathway confers growth benefits to transformed cells by protecting them from a myriad of stimuli inducing apoptosis, such as the host immune response and the transforming cells themselves. As development proceeds, and, ultimately, by apoptosis-inducing therapies, cells with dysfunctional apoptosis are increasingly selected. When cancer cells with effective efflux of the agent escape the treatment, a phenomenon of multidrug resistance arises. (Marine et al., 2020)

Hepatic secondary malignancy

This renders metastases more visible; when resected, they appear as black dots in the enhancing parenchyma. Imaging is included in routine screening protocols for cancer patient follow-up, but computed tomography (CT) with PVP is typically employed. CT is so widely available that it can rapidly extend to the large number of patients awaiting this operation. These large surveillance populations are outside the reach of CEUS centers. But in this category, CT and MRI are capable of giving ambiguous results; one of the main roles of CEUS is to efficiently clear these results. Even though each process differs in mechanism, they all have an effect on each other. Clinical manifestations and imaging examinations are the primary means through which liver metastases of breast cancer are diagnosed. Surgical resection is the primary treatment for such metastases and may also include chemotherapy and interventional therapy. While more research needs to be done to confirm this, immunotherapy is currently in the process of being developed to treat liver metastases of breast cancer. Two approaches are necessary to avoid liver metastasis in triple-negative breast cancer: firstly, the original lesion of the cancer should be inhibited by surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation therapy; secondly, immunotherapy and

radiofrequency ablation may also avoid and retard liver metastases in triple-negative breast cancer. The future of TNBC treatment will be supported by the development of new targeted drugs and a better understanding of the tumor microenvironment. Many primary neoplasms have the potential to metastasize to the liver, including melanoma, colorectal, breast, kidney, and pancreatic malignancies. Accurate diagnosis of liver metastases is required before proper treatment in these patients can be determined. Although liver metastases-positive patients might need systemic chemotherapy or segmental and radiofrequency ablation, patients who are liver and metastases-free can undergo terminal surgical treatment. Thus, accurate diagnosis of liver metastases in patients with original malignancies is extremely important. The liver is one of the most frequently encountered metastasis sites from solid malignancies. It is made in the case when imaging reveals multiple hepatic lesions in a normal liver. In only 20% of cases, liver metastases present as a solitary lesion and tend to involve both hepatic lobes. 77 The most frequent etiologies of liver metastases are cancers of the pancreas and gastrointestinal system (most frequently the stomach and colon). (Horn et al., 2020)

Malignant Cellular Metabolism

A changing feature of cancer cells is metabolic reprogramming, which allows them to survive microenvironmental stresses and meet greater nutritional and energy demands. To accommodate changing microenvironments and therapeutic interventions, cancer cells can modify their metabolic pathways. Our goal is to enhance patient outcomes by unraveling the dynamics of metabolic variables and designing custom treatment approaches to meet individualized metabolic traits. Similarly, the metabolism of lipids and amino acids can be altered. Additionally, the cellular antioxidant system can be reconstituted in cancer cells by glutamine metabolism. Due to their rapid growth and high metabolic rate, cancer cells often undergo oxidative stress. Glutathione, an important antioxidant that protects cells from oxidative damage, is synthesized by glutamine. Large molecules can be hydrolyzed by cancer cells to yield glutamine devoid of nutrients. Glutamate metabolism targeting can become an innovative cancer therapeutic approach. To counteract immunological escape, it has been found that inhibiting glutamine activates alternative metabolic pathways. High-performance can rapidly provide energy and raw materials for the biosynthesis of various biological macromolecules, as mentioned earlier. In addition, a large percentage is

converted into lactic acid during the aerobic process instead of entering the TCA cycle, which reduces the production of ROS and is beneficial for tumor growth. In order to maintain their activities during invasion, follower cells undergo other metabolic processes besides generating energy. To maintain cell structure and function, they need to produce chemicals such as proteins, lipids, and nucleotides, as opposed to leader cells. Lipids, for example, are a vital component of cell membranes, and since cancer cells continuously divide and grow, they need to produce new membranes. Such compounds can be acquired by follower cells by active nutrient gathering and utilization in the microenvironment. Furthermore, the follower cells are highly metabolically flexible against the alteration in their environment. is increased and deregulated in the following cells, which results in an increase in lipid and fatty acid synthesis. (Martinez-Outschoorn et al., 2017)

Adaptive Resistance to Treatment

Antibiotics do not work. Antibiotics must be taken only as directed and prescribed by a doctor. Bacteria take every opportunity to multiply. The drugs become less effective against the changed bacterium. Antibiotics leave behind resistant germs but can kill bacteria that have not adapted to survive treatment. The genetic makeup (DNA) of a bacterium can sometimes change or shift by itself. This newly changed bacteria is not known to the antibiotic, and therefore it cannot fight against it as it should. Or the change helps the bacteria to resist the impact of the drug. It can transmit a bacterial disease that is contagious and resistant to drugs. Antibiotics are no longer able to cure that individual's disease. Effective treatment is usually present. But it can become more difficult to treat resistant germs over time. Some bacteria do. Bacteria begin to change because of the common use of antibiotics to cure bacterial diseases. Think of a friend who likes to have surprise parties. Practice good hygiene. The problem of antibiotic resistance could get worse as our civilization increasingly uses antibiotics. Antibiotics cannot be used to treat viral infections. But in some cases, both viral and bacterial disease symptoms are the same. Most microorganisms responsible for causing antibiotic-resistant diseases don't have vaccinations yet. An exception is pneumococcal vaccination. This protects people from S. pneumoniae-induced pneumococcal disease. For most segments of the population, the vaccine is necessary, especially for those aged above 65 years and children under two years

of age. Other immunizations, including the flu vaccine, which protects against viral illnesses, are also important. (Longley et al., 2005)

Blood-Based Cancer Diagnostics

A biopsy is when a doctor removes a sample of tissue from the tumor and tests the cells in the lab to determine if they are cancerous. The most accurate way of determining cancer is through a biopsy. It is the best way to detect cancer. However, when liquid biopsies detect cancer, they provide valuable information regarding the cancer cells that may help a physician create a treatment plan. Advanced cancer is referred to as metastatic cancer. The original tumor site has yielded to other parts of the body because of metastatic cancer. Tumor fragments detach and flow into the bloodstream as it advances. The outlook is improved when the number of tumor cells is fewer compared to more. A form of cancer therapy known as targeted therapy seeks to destroy some of the types of cancer cells. For example, a specific type of targeted therapy may be designed to attack a DNA error contained in a cancer cell. These errors can be identified with a liquid biopsy. In comparison to a liquid biopsy, a biopsy is a much more invasive procedure. There are several liquid biopsy tests in development and various stages of investigation. The FDA has approved four of them: CTCs are identified by the test. It is employed to predict the likely outcome for patients with colon, prostate, or breast cancer that has metastasized. University of Chicago Medicine doctors are increasingly using liquid biopsies. This new technology employs a simple blood test instead of an invasive needle biopsy to detect signs of cancerous tumors. University of Chicago Medicine oncologists are optimistic about this faster, safer, and more convenient test, although needle biopsy remains the gold standard for both evaluating a patient's genetic profile and detecting cancer. This is particularly relevant for metastatic cancer patients who require repeated biopsies both before and after treatment. Dr. Ari Rosenberg, an oncologist at the University of Chicago Medicine specializing in head, neck, and thyroid cancers, explained that liquid biopsies can be employed to monitor a patient's response to therapy and even guide real-time de-escalation. Cancers secrete DNA into the bloodstream as they progress. As compared to a needle biopsy, which often involves the use of an ultrasound or CT scan and, in certain cases, a blood test, it is more convenient and cheaper. Another key benefit of liquid biopsies is that they provide results in about a week, two to three times faster than having tissue biopsies

taken to obtain genetic data, said Dr. Christine, a thoracic oncologist at It helps to find other people who might benefit from personalized medicine. Turnaround time is paramount when it comes to cancer. But not all patients are ideal for liquid biopsies. There are people with unique DNA, which could warp test results and increase the chances of false positives. Moreover, a repeat liquid biopsy could be required if any malignancy is detected. (Ignatiadis et al., 2021)

Conclusion

Advances in precision medicine, immunotherapy, genetic profiling, and novel treatment modalities are transforming the discipline of cancer research and drug development. The shift towards personalized therapies tailored to the genetic makeup of each patient promises to significantly enhance therapeutic efficacy with a decrease in side effects. Immunotherapies, including CAR T-cell therapies and immune checkpoint inhibitors, are demonstrating unprecedented effectiveness in the treatment of certain cancers, and there is ongoing work to expand their application to more diverse types of tumors. Also, advances in liquid biopsy-based early detection, combined with the promising potential of gene editing, cancer vaccination, and nanomedicine, can potentially revolutionize the way we diagnose and treat disease. Although these advances hold promise, there are still problems yet to be solved, including overcoming resistance to drugs, expanding access to new medicines, and ensuring that cancer therapies are tailored for more types of cancer. Yet, developments in clinical trials, medicine, and tailored treatment regimens are being hastened by the alignment of cancer therapy; it seems brighter as science continues to push the boundaries, potentially leading to more effective drugs and, ultimately, a world where cancer is a manageable disease or even curable.

References

Dragu, D. L., Necula, L. G., Bleotu, C., Diaconu, C. C., & Chivu-Economescu, M. (2015). Therapies targeting cancer stem cells: Current trends and future challenges. World Journal of Stem Cells, 7(9), 1185-1201

Foulon, V., Schöffski, P., & Wolter, P. (2011). Patient adherence to oral anticancer drugs: An emerging issue in modern oncology. Acta Clinica Belgica, 66(2), 85-96.

Gaj, T., Sirk, S. J., Shui, S. L., & Liu, J. (2016). Genome-editing technologies: Principles and applications. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, 8(12), a023754.

Ignatiadis, M., Sledge, G. W., & Jeffrey, S. S. (2021). Liquid biopsy enters the clinic—implementation issues and future challenges. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology, 18(5), 297-312.

Little, S. J., Holte, S., Routy, J.-P., Daar, E. S., Markowitz, M., Collier, A. C., Koup, R. A., Mellors, J. W., Connick, E., Conway, B., & Kilby, M. (2002). Antiretroviral-drug resistance among patients recently infected with HIV. New England Journal of Medicine, 347(6), 385-394.

Longley, D. B., & Johnston, P. G. (2005). Molecular mechanisms of drug resistance. The Journal of Pathology, 205(2), 275-292.

Martinez-Outschoorn, U. E., Peiris-Pagés, M., Pestell, R. G., Sotgia, F., & Lisanti, M. P. (2017). Cancer metabolism: A therapeutic perspective. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology, 14(1), 11-31.

Mirnezami, R., Nicholson, J., & Darzi, A. (2012). Preparing for precision medicine. New England Journal of Medicine, 366(6), 489-491.

Compton, C., & Compton, C. (2020). Understanding the hallmark characteristics of cancer. In Cancer: The enemy from within: A comprehensive textbook of cancer's causes, complexities and consequences (pp. 49-81). Springer.