African Journal of
Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Research

ISSN : 1595-7926

Index: Harvard, Boston, Sydney University, Dimensions, Lens, ResearchGet Scilit, Semantic, Google Scholar, Base etc

https://doi.org/10.58578/AJBMBR.v2i2.6140

Cloning in Plants and Animals: Divergent Pathways and Ethical Boundaries in Biotechnology

Muhammad Akram[1], Isaac John Umaru[2], Nosheen Aslam[3], Mohammed Khudhair Hasan[4], Hind A. Abdulghafoor[5], Fahad Said Khan[6], Fethi Ahmet Ozdemir[7], Gaweł Sołowski[8], Jaouher Ben Ali[9], Jehan Mohammed Al-Musawi[9], Abid Mahmood[10]

[1,3,11]Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan; [2]Federal University Wukari, Taraba State, Nigeria; [4]Al Manara College for Medical Sciences, Maysan, Iraq; [5]University of Fallujah, Iraq; [6]University of Poonch Rawalakot, Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan; [7]Bingol University, Bingol, Türkiye; [8]University of Tunis, Tunisia; [9,10]University of Kufa, Najaf, Iraq

makram_0451@yahoo.com

Article Info:

Submitted:	Revised:	Accepted:	Published:
Apr 23, 2025	May 20, 2025	Jun 2, 2025	Jun 7, 2025

Abstract

The creation of genetically identical creatures by cloning is essential to contemporary biotechnology, agriculture, and medical research. Although the basic idea of cloning is the same in all kingdoms, there are substantial differences between plants and animals in terms of its use, effectiveness, and moral consequences. Cloning is a common, natural occurrence in plants and is frequently accomplished using asexual reproduction procedures such as tissue culture, grafting, and vegetative propagation. Because plant cells are highly totipotent, practically any somatic cell may grow again into a whole organism given the right circumstances. The production of genetically engineered plants with enhanced features, the preservation of uncommon species, and the large-scale multiplication of genetically uniform crops have all been made easier by this regenerative potential. Animal cloning, on the other hand, poses far more biological and technological difficulties. Due to

AJBMBR Journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

developmental errors, aberrant gene expression, and insufficient reprogramming of the donor nucleus, this procedure usually has poor success rates and necessitates intricate management. It brings up important issues about biodiversity, animal care, and the ethical ramifications of cloning sentient creatures. Furthermore, animal cloning is more closely regulated and scrutinized by the public than plant cloning, which is generally recognized in agricultural operations and conservation initiatives. This is particularly true when it comes to food production and possible human cloning. The difference in the effectiveness and uses of cloning is mostly explained by the difference in totipotency between plant and animal cells. The accuracy of modification is becoming closer thanks to recent technological advancements like CRISPR-Cas9, yet the ethical and practical possibilities of cloning in these two domains are still not comparable. The intricate relationship between biological viability, technical development, and societal acceptability of interspecies cloning is shown by this comparative study. Guidance on the proper application and control of cloning technologies in biomedicine and agriculture depends on an understanding of these distinctions.

Keywords: Animal Cloning; Ethical Considerations; Somatic Cell Nuclear Transfer; Vegetative Propagation; Genetic Engineering

Introduction

For example, DNA segments are exponentially replicated using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), a technique often used in basic scientific research. Despite being the most well-known clone in the world, Dolly the sheep wasn't the first. An animal or plant can be cloned to produce a genetically identical duplicate. Before Dolly, other animals had been cloned, including cows, sheep, mice, and frogs. Cloning plants is common; a cutting is used to create a clone. Identical twins in humans are also clones. Dolly appeared to be just another sheep, but she wasn't the first cloned animal. Why was she such a source of worry and excitement? Because, rather than being cloned from an embryo, she was the first mammal to be such. This was an important scientific advance, but it also raised ethical concerns. Other sheep have been produced since the birth of Dolly in 1996. Growing a plant from a cutting is obviously a lot easier than creating an animal clone from an adult cell. Because of this, it made headlines throughout the world when researchers at Scotland's Roslin Institute developed Dolly, who was six years old, to make Dolly.

made possible by altering the culture medium, or the "soup" in which the cells were maintained. After that, they united the cells using electrical pulses and put the cell into an unfertilized egg from which the nucleus had been extracted. The Scottish Blackface sheep was the source of the unfertilized egg. To check if it divided and matured correctly, they cultivated it for six or seven days before implanting it into a Scottish Blackface sheep that served as a surrogate mother. Dolly's face became white. The primary motivation behind Roslin scientists' desire to clone sheep and other large animals was their investigation into using the milk of these animals to make medications. Scientists have successfully inserted human genes that generate beneficial proteins into sheep and cows, allowing them to create things like alpha-1-antitrypsin to cure lung disorders like cystic fibrosis or factor IX, a blood-clotting agent, to treat hemophilia. Additionally, cloned animals that generate human antibodies against cancer and infectious illnesses might be created. "Foreign" genes have been inserted into zebrafish, which are often employed in scientific settings. The foreign protein is expressed by the cloned fish embryos.

Somatic Cell Nuclear Transfer

Scientists have made great strides and breakthroughs in amphibian studies since the start of the investigation of somatic cell nuclear transfer. Primates and fish. 118-121 Scientists have been able to uncover the intricate regulatory mechanism of somatic cell nuclear transfer in recent years because of the quick development of second-generation sequencing tools. 122 However, the nuclear transfer method is rarely employed for somatic cell reprogramming due to advancements in hiPSC production procedures (including pluripotent gene transduction or transfection). By introducing the nucleus of a fully developed cell (such as a fibroblast) into an enucleated egg, ass is initiated for the nucleus to completely undergo genetic reprogramming. The capacity to produce several genetically identical animals is SCNT's primary benefit. Fewer experimental animals are needed to provide more definitive results in a shorter amount of time by reducing genetic variety. Additionally, cloning aids in investigations of gene function and differentiation as well as fundamental study to comprehend the mechanisms behind the molecular/cellular foundation of donor nuclear reprogramming by the recipient oocyte (Latham, 2004). Stem cell therapy has great promise for kidney regeneration. Researchers are investigating two more techniques to restore kidney function in addition to this particular use in cell therapy, with an eye on potential

future uses in regenerative medicine. Nuclear transfer from somatic cells is one technique; tissue engineering, which uses artificial polymers as scaffolds, is another. Nuclear transfer from somatic cells entails removing the nucleus taken from an oocyte and substituting it with one taken from a patient's somatic cell. Using chemicals or electric shocks, the oocyte is then stimulated to divide multiple times, reaching the blastocyst stage, from which it can either be transplanted in utero (reproductive cloning) or its embryonic stem cells can be isolated and grown as cell lines in vitro (therapeutic cloning). This field encompasses the process of creating an animal using parthenogenesis or somatic cell nuclear transfer. Although the goal of these processes is not to actually produce or utilize stem cell lines, the first steps are the same; therefore, they should be included in a list of potential applications. Although pet breeding is one of the more recent uses, the animals produced can mostly be utilized in agriculture or biomedicine. This field also covers the creation of an animal fetus or postnatal animal for the purpose of organ harvesting using the methods of parthenogenesis or somatic cell nuclear transfer. (Campbell et al., 2007)

Ethical considerations

When participants finish reading a book, they are usually asked whether they have any questions. They can sign the authorization form or initial it if they want to take part. When working with particularly vulnerable communities, this might not be enough to obtain informed consent. Those who have trouble reading should be given a verbal explanation of the permission form before they consent to participate in data collection. Work with an interpreter or translate the research materials to make sure participants who speak little or no English can access all the information in their native tongue. It is frequently impossible for kids to do research without their parents' or guardians' informed consent. Depending on their age and maturity level, it is important to obtain their assent (approval) to participate even if they are unable to offer informed permission. Because of anonymity, the participants' names are kept private, and their data cannot be connected to any particular individual. If you provide participants the choice to subsequently remove their data, you will also need to gather identifying information. Another method is data pseudonymization, which replaces participant identifying information with fictional or pseudonymous identifiers. Linking data to participants is feasible, but it is more difficult since research data is separate from personal data. The Publication Ethics Committee is one of numerous

organizations dedicated to promoting ethics in scientific research. These parties agree that the ethics of the research endeavor are neither a secondary concern nor an afterthought.

This includes using any form of dishonesty or manipulation to gain someone's favor. According to informed consent, a person must provide their express consent in order to take part in the study. A trust agreement between the participants and the researcher might be seen in the permission form. (Rossi et al., 2009)

Animal cloning

The uterus of the mother receives both parts. The chromosomes of the mother and father combine during conception, and sperm and eggs only have one pair. In contrast, somatic cells already have two full sets of chromosomes. An animal's somatic cell's DNA is transferred to an egg cell that has had its DNA and nucleus removed in order to produce a clone. The donor cell's genes are carried over into the developing embryo from the egg. Researchers have since produced clones of cows, horses, deer, rabbits, and cats. However, as of yet, no human has been cloned. This is due in part to the difficulty of producing a successful clone. Any attempt might lead to genetic errors that render the clone unviable. Before scientists were successful with Dolly, they had to make 276 attempts. There are ethical concerns with human cloning as well. Clones can be used in a variety of ways by researchers. A cloned embryo can develop into a factory of stem cells. An early cell type known as a stem cell has the capacity to differentiate into a wide variety of different cell and tissue types. Researchers can transform them into insulin-producing cells to treat diabetes or nerve cells to restore a damaged spinal cord. There have been several uses for animal cloning. Scientists have studied the ailments that animals get by cloning animals with genetic defects. (Stice et al., 1998)

Vegetative propagation

Few vegetative reproduction processes are included in the models that have been examined. Shoots from fallen trees can fill up around one-third of the gaps left by fallen trees or disturbances in temperate and boreal forest ecosystems in the Northern Hemisphere far more quickly than seedlings from nearby trees or the seed bank. These shoots benefit from a developed root system that can adjust for the adverse climatic

circumstances, particularly water shortages, that are more likely to occur in such places, as well as the ability to use available energy sources stored in the trunk or roots. During meiosis, chromosomal dispersion and gene recombination occur during sexual reproduction, which is most likely the ancestral state of terrestrial plants.

"In sexual plants, meiosis produces spores from sporophytes, which then undergo mitosis to become gametophytes, which generate sperm and/or eggs. Asexual children, on the other hand, are genetically identical to their parents save for variations brought about by somatic mutations and do not go through meiosis. There is a price (often called the "cost of males" or "cost of meiosis") since females reduce their genetic contribution by half, yet sexual reproduction necessitates the genetic input of both sperm and egg. In other words, an asexual female who only creates individuals that make eggs would, on average, produce twice as many children as a female that must produce both individuals that produce eggs and sperm with the same amount of resources. Asexual children should flourish in settings where their parents do since they share genetic traits with them. Asexual processes should also guarantee reproduction, and finding a partner shouldn't take extra energy. Consequently, the concerns of how and why sex is maintained continue to be crucial and basic. Most plants, with the exception of annuals, also reproduce asexually, even though the great majority reproduce sexually. Apomictic reproduction and vegetative reproduction, which produce specialized vegetative structures for dissemination such as buds, tillers, and bulbils, are the two primary forms of asexual reproduction.

(the process of producing seeds or spores that are genetically similar to the primary plant). Over 80% of flowering plants are thought to reproduce using structures that people often utilize for harvesting or propagation (see Table 1 for the reproductive method of some commercially significant crops and weeds). The number of species that have permanently abandoned sex in favor of obligatory vegetative reproduction is unknown, though. (Mesén et al., 1997)

Genetic engineering

The new DNA might be targeted to a particular region of the genome or inserted at random. A genetically modified organism (GMO) is an organism created through genetic engineering that has experienced genetic alteration. Genentech, the first business to concentrate on genetic engineering, was established in 1976 and started manufacturing

human proteins. Genetically modified human insulin was developed in 1978, and commercially viable bacteria that produce insulin were introduced in 1982. In 2016, growth hormone-modified fish became available for purchase. Genetic engineering has been employed in a variety of areas, including research, health, industrial biotechnology, and agriculture. Through function loss and enhancement, genetically modified organisms (GMOs) are employed in research to study gene expression and function screening as well as tests on expression. Animal model organisms for human illnesses can be produced by deactivating the genes that cause certain pathologies. Through gene therapy, genetic engineering holds promise for the treatment of hereditary illnesses in addition to the production of hormones, vaccines, and other medications. Industrial genetic engineering makes use of Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells. Furthermore, vaccinations made of genetically modified mRNA are being developed to stop viral infections like COVID-19. In the same way that medications are made, enzymes for cheeses, detergents, and other items may be made using the same machinery. Farmers in several regions have benefited financially from the commercialization of genetically modified crops, but it has also been the focus of a lot of the debate around this technology. This has existed since the beginning; anti-GMO extremists destroyed the initial field tests. Although scientists agree that there is no higher danger to human health from currently available meals made from genetically modified crops than from normal foods, some people are very concerned about the safety of GMO foods. Other possible concerns have been brought forward, including management of the food supply, effects on non-target species, gene flow, and intellectual property rights. (Kärenlampi et al., 2000)

Conclusion

The scientific landscapes of animal and plant cloning are highly distinct, governed by biological characteristics that are intrinsic as well as practical, technical, and ethical factors. Plants are widely used in research, agriculture, and conservation because of their ability to regenerate complete organisms from a single cell. The adoption of animal cloning, which is mostly accomplished by somatic cell nuclear transfer, is further hampered by worries about the health and well-being of the animals as well as a number of technical challenges and low success rates.

The basic distinctions between plant and animal systems guarantee that cloning will continue to have unique uses and implications in each context, even if scientific breakthroughs continue to improve cloning processes. In order to ensure that the advantages of cloning—whether for food security, biodiversity, or medicine—are utilized with caution and respect for both natural systems and social values, it is imperative that scientific advancement and ethical responsibility be balanced in the future.

References

Campbell, K. H., Fisher, P., Chen, W. C., Choi, I., Kelly, R. D., Lee, J. H., & Xhu, J. (2007). Somatic Cell Nuclear Transfer: Past, Present and Future Perspectives. *Theriogenology, 68*, S214–S231.

Kärenlampi, S., Schat, H., Vangronsveld, J., Verkleij, J. A., van der Lelie, D., Mergeay, M., & Tervahauta, A. I. (2000). Genetic Engineering in the Improvement of Plants for Phytoremediation of Metal Polluted Soils. *Environmental Pollution, 107*(2), 225–231.

Mesén, F., Newton, A. C., & Leakey, R. R. (1997). Vegetative Propagation of *Cordia alliodora* (Ruiz & Pavon) Oken: The Effects of IBA Concentration, Propagation Medium and Cutting Origin. *Forest Ecology and Management, 92*(1–3), 45–54.

Rossi, S., Hallett, M., Rossini, P. M., Pascual-Leone, A., & Safety of TMS Consensus Group. (2009). Safety, Ethical Considerations, and Application Guidelines for the Use of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in Clinical Practice and Research. *Clinical Neurophysiology, 120*(12), 2008–2039.

Stice, S. L., Robl, J. M., De Leon, F. P., Jerry, J., Golueke, P. G., Cibelli, J. B., & Kane, J. J. (1998). Cloning: New Breakthroughs Leading to Commercial Opportunities. *Theriogenology, 49*(1), 129–138.